

Meeting the Traceability requirements of ISO17025

An Analyst's Guide Third Edition September 2005



0

The work described in this guide was supported under contract with the Department of Trade and Industry as part of the National Measurement System's Valid Analytical Measurement (VAM) Programme.

ISBN 0-948926-23-6

Published by LGC Limited

Subject to Crown licence, no part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any retrieval system, without the written permission of the copyright holder. © LGC Limited 2005. All rights reserved.

Meeting the Traceability Requirements of ISO17025

An Analyst's Guide

Third Edition September 2005

Editors

Vicki Barwick and Steve Wood

LGC Queens Road Teddington Middlesex TW11 0LY

vam@lgc.co.uk www.vam.org.uk

Preface to 3rd Edition

It is now two years since the second, and most widely known, edition of this guide was published. During that time, many more laboratory managers have become aware of the issues relating to traceable chemical measurement data. Increased awareness has been accompanied by a rapid growth in the number of enquiries we receive concerning traceable chemical standards and matrix reference materials. This is due in part, but not entirely, to the increasing attention being paid to this aspect of reliable measurements by the accreditation bodies and others. It does appear as well that there is growing recognition of the real benefits of applying the concept of traceability to aspects of chemical measurement beyond the calibration of physical parameters such as mass or volume.

Recognition of the benefits has lead to a desire to apply traceability fully in the chemical measurement laboratory and this has not always proved to be straightforward. A major problem brought to our attention is simply recognising which of the many facets of a measurement need to be traceable. Beyond that, it is often even more difficult for those inexperienced in the concepts to identify the relative importance of each of the parameters they have identified with respect to establishing traceability of the end result. Many analysts also find it difficult to judge how much time and expense is justifiable, either for any given parameter or in establishing the traceability of the overall measurement. In other words, it is not clear how they can apply the same concepts of fitness for purpose that they adopt in developing and validating other aspects of their measurement methods.

This situation has become clear both from ad hoc comments and also from discussion at a further series of training workshops, which we have organised since publication of the second edition. These were intended to achieve two main aims. Firstly, to explain the concepts of traceability and demonstrate their value to the chemical measurement laboratory. Second to show how the *VAM Traceability Guide* provides a logical and straightforward way for a laboratory to examine its own standard operating procedures (SOPs) and assess "when, where and how" to seek traceable calibrations or artefacts. Comments from the participants in the workshops, and the problems they experienced in trying to apply the guide, proved to be a valuable learning experience for us as well. We became convinced that, whilst the guide had been widely welcomed, there was an opportunity for further improvement. These improvements to the third edition fall into two main areas.

We found that the exercises based on real SOPs were extremely useful in understanding how to apply the guide in the readers' own laboratories. We have therefore greatly extended the number and scope of the example SOPs so that all the main application areas are now addressed. It was also apparent from the team exercises (which formed a key part of the workshops) that many analysts were distracted from the present purpose by discussing analytical aspects of the SOPs. We have, therefore, reviewed all the examples and made them easier to use. This has involved, for example, removing or simplifying some parts of the SOPs which, whilst essential in applying the method for real, only hinder the purpose for which we have provided them. The other major change is to the worked example and the model answers provided for the set of SOPs, where we noted two problems related to the colour coding system which is a key feature of the guide. It was apparent that many users had tried unnecessarily to establish traceability for every artefact used in an analysis, including items such as crucibles or tongs, and were surprised that we had not included them in our model answers. They were frequently confused as to the distinction between such items and those in the "green category". This represents a minimal or basic degree of control in which normal, routine laboratory equipment, reagents, etc. are able to provide appropriate stated references. To avoid confusion we have introduced a new "grey category" for those items, which need not be considered for traceability. The second problem arises because for any given item in an SOP the category may depend on the circumstances in which it is used. As a result, we were frequently asked why we had chosen one category whilst the user had, quite rightly, identified a different category as being more appropriate in their own laboratory. This has been addressed by cross-referencing every colour-coded entry in the answers to a short explanation of why we chose that category.

We hope you find these improvements to the guide of value and that it is easier to use as an aid to evaluating your own laboratory's methods as well as a basis for training courses. Any further comments or suggestions about the guide and its use are welcome.

Mike Sargent LGC September 2005

Preface to Second Edition

Many analysts are aware of the traceability requirements of ISO17025. These place the long-standing practice of obtaining traceable calibrations for equipment such as balances or volumetric glassware on a more formal basis. More importantly, they extend this requirement to the chemical standards and reference materials used to calibrate or validate analytical methods. Recent investigations carried out by LGC within the VAM programme have demonstrated the practical benefit of establishing the traceability of routine test results to chemical measurement standards of known quality. Hence all laboratories, regardless of whether their methods are accredited to ISO 17025, can benefit from implementing the principles needed to obtain traceable measurement results. Unfortunately, many laboratory managers have difficulty in envisaging how this can be done in a straightforward and cost-effective manner.

This guide provides essential practical advice to analysts and laboratory managers on how to establish the traceability of their results to reliable and appropriate measurement standards. Such traceability is the key to obtaining results that are fit-for-purpose, particularly in terms of accuracy, between-laboratory comparability, and consistency of data over periods of time. Following the guidance given here should ensure compliance with the traceability requirements of ISO17025.

The approach adopted in this guide is based on the recently published Eurachem/CITAC document Traceability in Chemical Measurement. The main aim of the present guide is to provide an interpretation for analysts of the practical requirements associated with the Eurachem/CITAC document. Hence we have focussed on those essential practical steps involved in a typical analytical procedure for which traceability must be established and explained how the analyst can judge what is required in their particular circumstances. In order to simplify this process we have adopted a colour coding system which, we hope, will help analysts to classify the traceability requirements of their methods in accordance with the impact on the final analytical result of each individual traceable calibration.

The guidance is illustrated using extensive examples of several analytical methods and standard operating procedures taken from the food and environmental measurement sectors. The examples are based on real analytical procedures, simplified where necessary to aid clarity. We hope they will facilitate an understanding of the principles of traceability and prove useful for both private study and training courses.

The examples and the procedures described in this guide were presented, applied and reviewed at two workshops organised by LGC and involving analysts, managers, experts on traceability, and representatives of accreditation bodies. The final version of the guide and examples incorporates many suggestions from the workshop participants and we are grateful for their help. We are also grateful to the Eurachem/CITAC Working Group for early access to their draft document. This work was supported by the Department of Trade and Industry's Valid Analytical Measurement Programme (VAM).

Mike Sargent LGC September 2003

Acknowledgements

Richard Lawn, Mike Sargent and Alex Williams were closely involved in the design and preparation of this guide, together with the workshops that formed an integral part of its production. We are also grateful to the many analysts, managers, experts on traceability, and representatives of accreditation bodies who provided advice and comments on drafts of the guide. A special word of thanks to all the workshop participants whose contribution played a major role in shaping the guide and who are now too numerous to list.

This work was supported by the Department of Trade and Industry's Valid Analytical Measurement Programme (VAM).

CONTENTS

1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	WHY IS TRACEABILITY IMPORTANT?	1
	1.2	TRACEABILITY IN CHEMICAL MEASUREMENTS	1
2.	PR/	ACTICAL ATTAINMENT OF TRACEABILITY	3
_,			 2
	2.1 วว	Appondiate Stated Decedences	د د
	2.2	1 What are Stated References?	د ۲
	2.2.	7 What is Appropriate?	5 5
	2.2.1	CHOOSING THE APPROPRIATE DEGREE OF CONTROL.	6
	2.3.	1 Fitness for Purpose Criteria	6
	2.3.2	2 Method Validation Data	7
	2.3	3 Uncertainty Data	7
	2.3.4	4 Analysts' Experience	7
	2.4	OBTAINING THE APPROPRIATE DEGREE OF CONTROL.	8
	2.4.	1 Green Category	8
	2.4.2	2 Amber Category	9
	2.4	3 Red Category	9
3.	IDE	NTIFYING THE TRACEABILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR A STANDARD OPERAT	ING
PF	OCE	DURE – AN EXAMPLE	11
	3.1	KEY STEPS IN THE ATTAINMENT OF TRACEABILITY	11
	3.2	APPLICATION OF THE KEY STEPS TO THE SOP	11
	3.2.	<i>Steps 1 and 2: Method Selection and Acceptable Uncertainty</i>	11
	3.2.2	2 Step 3: Equation	12
	3.2.	3 Step 4: Identify Reagents and Equipment in the SOP with Specified Values	16
	3.2.4	4 Step 5: Identify the Fixed Experimental Conditions used in the SOP	18
	3.2	5 Equipment and Reagents with Specified Values with no impact on the Traceability	19
	3.2.0	6 Step 6: Traceability Statement	19
4.	ΟΤΙ	HER EXAMPLES	21
BI	BLIO	GRAPHY	22
5.	API	PENDIX 1 - RATIONALE FOR TRACEABILITY CATEGORY ASSIGNMENT	I
6.	API	PENDIX 2 (WORKED EXAMPLE)	111
	6.1	SOP:FDS/1: DETERMINATION OF POTASSIUM IODIDE IN VITAMIN TABLETS	IV
7.	APF	PENDIX 3	УП
	/.l	SOP: FDS/3: SAMPLE PREPARATION BY DRY ASHING	
	1.2	SOP: INS/I: QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS BY INDUCTIVE	
,	73	SOPEDS/2: THE DETERMINATION OF DIMETRIDATOLE IN ANIMAL FEEDING	ЛІ
		STUFFS BY HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY	XIV
,	7.4	SOP: ENV/1: EXTRACTION OF METALS FROM SOIL BY AOUA-REGIA	XVIII
,	7.5	SOP: ENV/3: DETERMINATION OF WATER-SOLUBLE SULPHATE IN SOIL	XX
,	7.6	SOP: ENV/2: DETERMINATION OF COMMON ANIONS IN WATERS BY ION	
		CHROMATOGRAPHY	XXIII

7.7	SOP: CLIN/2: ANALYSIS OF TOTAL SODIUM FROM SERUM BY ATOMIC EMMISS	SION
	SPECTROMETRY	XXVII
7.8	SOP: FDS/4: DETERMINATION OF ASH IN ORGANIC MATTER AND THE	
	PREPARATION OF AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS	XXXI
7.9	SOP: INS/2: DETERMINATION OF THE TRACE ELEMENTS IRON, COPPER,	
	MANGANESE AND ZINC IN SOLUTION BY ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROSCO	PY
	Х	XXIV
7.10	SOP INS/3: ELECTROGRAVIMETRIC DETERMINATION OF COPPERX	XXIX

1. Introduction

1.1 Why is Traceability Important?

All chemical measurement results depend upon and are ultimately traceable to the values of measurement standards of various types, such as those for mass, volume and the amount of a particular chemical species. If results obtained by different laboratories are to be comparable, it is essential that all results are based on reliable measurement standards whose values are linked to a stated reference. If there are differences in the quality of the measurement standards used in different laboratories, discrepancies will inevitably arise when different laboratories analyse the same sample.

Recent investigations carried out within the VAM programme have shown the practical benefit of establishing the traceability of routine test results to measurement standards of known quality. In an interlaboratory exercise to determine iron in river water at the level of 280 μ g/L, each laboratory sourced its own measurement standard for iron and the between-laboratory coefficient of variation of the results was 41%. When the traceability of each laboratory's result to a common, high quality iron standard was established, the coefficient of variation was reduced to 11%.

Whilst it is generally not practicable to ensure the use of common standards for all of the measurements involved in a chemical analysis, steps should be taken by analysts to ensure that the measurement standards they are using are of an appropriate quality. This effectively requires the analyst to check that the stated values of the standards have been established by valid procedures and are accompanied by an uncertainty estimate that is appropriate to the particular analyses being carried out.

This guide provides practical advice and guidance to analysts on how to establish the traceability of their measurements to reliable measurement standards. It is based upon the principles described in the Eurachem/CITAC document entitled Traceability in Chemical Measurement (March 2003). The latter document may be viewed on the Eurachem website (*www.eurachem.ul.pt*)

1.2 Traceability in Chemical Measurements

A typical chemical analysis, usually involves a number of individual operations, such as the following:

- 1. Measurement of the amount (e.g. mass) of sample taken for analysis
- 2. Preparation (e.g. dissolution, digestion, extraction or cleanup) of the sample, according to fixed and defined experimental conditions, such as time, temperature, acid concentration, solvent composition, etc.
- 3. Measurement of the amount (e.g. volume) of the prepared sample extract
- 4. Calibration of an instrument with a standard solution of known concentration
- 5. Measurement of the instrument response obtained for the sample extract
- 6. Calculation of the concentration of analyte in the original sample

Examination of the above shows that a typical analytical procedure requires measurements to be made (e.g. sample mass, extract volume, etc) and fixed experimental conditions to be realised (e.g. time, temperature, reagent concentration for sample extraction, etc.).

The essential task of the analyst is to ensure that all of these experimentally measured or realised values are traceable to reliable measurement standards. Ideally, the measurement standards selected for the purpose of establishing traceability should be internationally recognised as being fit for that purpose, as emphasised in the VIM¹ definition of traceability:

Property of the result of a measurement or the value of a standard whereby it can be related to stated references, usually national or international standards, through an unbroken chain of comparisons all having stated uncertainties.

It will be noted that the VIM definition refers to 'national or international standards'. However, whilst national and international standards exist for physical measurements such as mass (i.e. the international standard kilogram), there are currently no such standards for chemical measurements. For example, if we were to analyse a sample of drinking water for lead content, we would soon find that there is no national or international measurement standard for lead.

Given this situation, the task of the analyst is to chose, for use as measurement standards, stated references that are appropriate for the particular analysis that is to be carried out. To identify appropriate stated references for traceability purposes, a systematic approach should be adopted. Section 2 of this guide suggests an approach that was the subject of two user workshops and which was found to be useful and workable by the participants.

Having completed an evaluation of the traceability for each critical parameter, the analyst should prepare an appropriate traceability statement which may be written into the SOP itself or the validation report. When reporting the results of an analysis carried out using the SOP it will usually be sufficient for the laboratory to state that all critical parameters used in the method are traceable to recognised national or international standards.

1. International Vocabulary of Basic and General Terms in Metrology. ISO, Geneva, 1993, 2nd edition. ISBN 92-67-01075-1

2. Practical Attainment of Traceability

2.1 Overview

Based on the approach described in the Eurachem/CITAC Guide, the analyst must undertake the following tasks as a pre-requisite to obtaining traceable measurement results when carrying out a particular analytical method or standard operating procedure (SOP).

- 1. Write down and understand the equation used to calculate the analytical result
- 2. Identify any reagents or equipment with specified values
- 3. Identify the fixed experimental conditions used in the SOP
- 4. Obtain appropriate stated references (measurement standards) for use in the practical measurement or realisation of the experimental values identified in 1,2 and 3.

Additionally, it is important to note that the SOP concerned must have been properly validated and must be applied within its stated scope. If these conditions are not met, an erroneous result may still be produced, even if all of the measurements and values referred to in the SOP are carried out or realised in a traceable manner. Guidance on method validation is beyond the scope of this document, but further information may be found in:

The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods: A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics. (www.eurachem.ul.pt)

Before commencing the analysis therefore, the analyst must first review the SOP and carry out steps 1, 2 and 3. This will enable those values, which appear in the equation or are specified in the reagents, equipment or fixed conditions to be identified.

The SOP must be carried out in a manner that establishes the traceability of these values to appropriate stated references. For this purpose, the analyst must then carry out step 4 and obtain the appropriate stated references. The issues involved in this approach are discussed below.

2.2 Appropriate Stated References

2.2.1 What are Stated References?

Put simply, a stated reference is any 'reference point' that an analyst uses to measure, obtain or realise a particular experimental value in practice. Some examples of stated references and their potential applications are tabulated below:

Stated reference	Could be used* to provide traceability for practical realisations of the following values:
Balance	mass
Standard weight	mass
Pipette, burette, graduated flask	volume
(or other volumetric glassware)	
Automatic pipette	volume
Measuring cylinder	volume
Graduated syringe	volume
Hg in glass thermometer	temperature
Platinum resistance thermometer	temperature
Clock or stopwatch	time
UV/optical/IR filter	absorbance; wavelength
Buffer solution	pH
Sieve	particle size
Filter paper; membrane filter; sintered glass filter	particle size
Published tables and compilations of physical/chemical data	atomic and molecular weights; density; etc.
Pure chemical or solution prepared from a pure chemical	instrument calibration response factors; molarity of volumetric reagents
Certified reference material comprising a pure chemical or a solution of a pure chemical	instrument calibration response factors; molarity of volumetric reagents
Commercial chemical with a producer's stated specification	composition of reagents used for sample digestion/extraction

Typical Examples of Stated References

* At the analyst's discretion (see Section 2.2.2)

The chosen stated reference may be a formally certified artefact, item of equipment or chemical material, issued by a calibration laboratory or a reference material producer and accompanied by a certificate. However, this is not an automatic and mandatory requirement for a stated reference. For example, for certain applications volumetric glassware of stated tolerance, but without a certificate of calibration, may be appropriate for volume measurements. Likewise, a reagent grade chemical of stated, but not formally certified, purity may be appropriate for the preparation of an instrument calibration standard.

It is the analyst's responsibility to decide what stated references are appropriate for a particular analytical determination, such that the final results obtained and reported on the test samples are fit for their intended purpose.

2.2.2 What is Appropriate?

The stated reference that is appropriate for a given application in a given SOP depends on the 'degree of control' that the analyst needs to apply in practice when measuring or realising a particular experimental value (e.g. mass, temperature, concentration of a calibration standard, etc.). 'Degree of control' refers, in general terms, to the uncertainty that is acceptable in the measured experimental value. The two examples below illustrate the issues involved.

(a) Degree of Control and Instrument Calibration

When carrying out an SOP for the determination of the pesticide p,p'-DDE in animal fat or a soil sample by some instrumental technique (e.g. GC/MS), the analyst will need to choose an appropriate sample of p,p'-DDE for use in the preparation of an instrument calibration solution. Two choices might be available:

- A commercial grade chemical, stated purity >95%
- A formally certified reference material, certified purity $99.6 \pm 0.4\%$

The former material would contribute about 5% to the calibration uncertainty whereas the latter will contribute only 0.4%. There will be similar uncertainty contributions associated with the result ultimately obtained on the test sample. Depending on the purpose of the analysis, the analyst makes a choice as to the appropriate calibration material. If the analysis is being carried out for the purpose of screening a large number of test samples to evaluate the proportion of samples contaminated with p,p'-DDE, an uncertainty contribution of about 5% due to instrument calibration might well be acceptable. If however, the analysis was being carried out to check whether a specific test sample complied with a legislative limit and it was suspected that the sample value was close to the limit, the smaller uncertainty provided by the certified calibration material would be required. Thus the degree of control that is exercised in the calibration of the instrument depends on the ultimate purpose of the analysis – there is no one single degree of control that is applicable to all instrument calibration procedures.

(b) Degree of Control and Sample Preparation

The following is a typical set of operations that might be involved in the preparation of a sample extract prior to measurement by some classical or instrumental technique:

....make the sample extract to 50 mL, take a 10 mL aliquot and pass it through a column containing approx 2 g granular anhydrous sodium sulphate. Collect the eluate in a rotary evaporator flask and rinse the column with about 5 mL of iso-octane, collecting the rinsings in the flask. Evaporate the extract to dryness at a temperature not exceeding 50 ∞

The analyst must review this aspect of the SOP in terms of the degree of control that should be applied when each of the values and quantities specified are measured or realised in practice.

When making the sample extract to 50 mL and subsequently taking a 10 mL aliquot, a significant degree of control must be exercised in the measurement of these volumes, since the actual volumes concerned will have a direct effect on the accuracy of the final analytical result. An appropriate degree of control would be provided by using volumetric glassware (volumetric flasks, pipettes, etc). These volume measuring devices typically have measurement tolerances of <0.5%. This degree of control will be more than adequate for many chemical analyses.

The anhydrous sodium sulphate is used to dry the extract and therefore the exact mass is not critical to the accuracy of the final result. A sufficient degree of control in realising the 2g quantity would be obtained by use of a top-pan balance, or even by simply filling the column to an appropriate depth.

The rinsing of the column specifies using <u>about</u> 5 mL of iso-octane. This volume could be realised with sufficient accuracy by use of a 10 mL measuring cylinder.

The temperature of the water bath of the rotary evaporator is specified not to exceed 50 °C. If the water bath is actually operated at a temperature significantly lower than this, say 40 °C, a sufficient degree of control of the bath temperature would be provided by an ordinary laboratory mercury in glass thermometer, since any uncertainty in the temperature reading of the thermometer would be expected to be much less than 10 °C.

However, if it was decided to operate the water bath at 49 °C, although a mercury in glass thermometer would still be suitable, the accuracy of the graduations would require checking against a properly calibrated thermometer. Thus a greater degree of control of the water bath temperature is required when it is close to the specified upper permissible limit.

2.3 Choosing the Appropriate Degree of Control

When deciding what degree of control is appropriate when a particular value or experimental parameter has to be measured or realised in practice, the analyst may find the following sources of guidance helpful.

2.3.1 Fitness for Purpose Criteria

Whenever an analysis is carried out, the analyst should be aware of the ultimate end-use to which the results will be put. This will determine the uncertainty in the final result that is acceptable and fit for purpose. For example, if a contaminated land site is being surveyed to assess the distribution pattern of hot-spots, measurements with an uncertainty of \pm 50% might be acceptable. Conversely, if a sample of blood is to be analysed to determine whether the ethanol content exceeds that permitted in drink/driving legislation, a much smaller uncertainty, perhaps \pm 5% or less, might be required. The degree of analytical control that must be applied in these two situations therefore differs markedly.

Clearly, the individual steps in the analytical procedure that make a significant contribution to the overall uncertainty must be controlled to a smaller degree of uncertainty than that required in the final analytical result. Ideally, experimental values

having a significant effect on the final result should be measured or realised with an uncertainty that is one-fifth (or less) of the overall target uncertainty for the final result. When this condition is met the individual step concerned will make a negligible contribution to the overall uncertainty.

2.3.2 Method Validation Data

It has already been emphasised (Section 2.1) that the use of a properly validated method, operated within its scope, is essential if reliable results are to be obtained. Information from the method validation studies will often assist in identifying the degree of control that is required for particular steps in the SOP.

For example, information may be available on the effect that variations in extraction conditions (e.g. time, temperature, extractant composition) have on the final analytical result. If changing the extraction temperature by ± 5 °C has no significant effect on the final analytical result, then it will be adequate to control the extraction temperature to ± 5 °C. This could be achieved using an ordinary laboratory mercury in glass thermometer.

If changing the extractant composition from, say, a specified value of 10% v/v nitric acid to 15% v/v has a significant effect on the final result, then the acid concentration would have to be controlled to better than $10 \pm 5\%$. How much closer the control would have to be depends upon the magnitude of the variation of the final result with variations in acid concentration. In the immediate absence of such knowledge, the analyst with responsibility for applying the SOP should control the acid concentration to $10 \pm 0.5\%$. This recommendation is made because the acid concentration in the SOP is specified as 10% v/v, that is to the nearest whole number, which carries the implication that it should lie between 9.5 and 10.5%.

2.3.3 Uncertainty Data

It will be appreciated from preceding discussions that the issue of traceability is closely linked to measurement uncertainty. Information on the uncertainty budget for an SOP and the individual sources of uncertainty will therefore be of value when considering the traceability requirements for the SOP.

Comprehensive guidance on measurement uncertainty has been published by Eurachem and further information may be found in the following document:

Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement, 2nd edition, (www.eurachem.ul.pt)

2.3.4 Analysts' Experience

In addition to the above more formal sources of information, the analysts' general experience will often provide useful guidance as to those parts of an analytical procedure where a greater degree of control is required and those parts where lesser control is acceptable.

2.4 Obtaining the Appropriate Degree of Control

Once the appropriate degree of control has been identified for a particular step in an SOP, consideration must be given as to how this degree of control will be obtained in practice. This effectively means identifying appropriate stated references that may be used to realise the experimental values concerned with an appropriate uncertainty. Because there are many different degrees of control and various ways to obtain them, it is helpful to allocate the degree of control required for a particular experimental value to one of three categories. This will help the analyst to identify traceability requirements for an SOP in a focused and systematic manner. The three categories, 'colour coded' green, amber and red, are discussed in detail below.

There are also features of an SOP, which although important in successfully executing the procedure, have no impact on the traceability of the method, and consequently do not require categorisation. Examples of features, which fall into this 'grey category' include tongs, desiccators and containers required to hold a volume.

2.4.1 Green Category

This represents a minimal or basic degree of control in which normal, routine laboratory equipment, reagents, etc. are able to provide appropriate stated references. This degree of control would be readily obtainable even in a laboratory with a basic level of analytical equipment and would not require the analyst to make any special arrangements. It is applicable to those steps in an analytical procedure that do not have a significant effect on the uncertainty of the final analytical result. By way of example, it would be applicable where the following situations are encountered in an SOP:

- approximate volume measurements, where it is reasonable to conclude that a graduated beaker or measuring cylinder would be adequate (e.g. 'dissolve the residue in about 10mL of hexane')
- measurements of time, where it is reasonable to rely on a clock or stopwatch (e.g. 'shake the mixture for 60 minutes')
- measurements of length, where it is reasonable to use a ruler (e.g. 'fused silica crucibles, 57 mm diameter')
- reagents with specified approximate concentrations (e.g. approx. $6 \text{ mol.} L^{-1} \text{ HCl}$)
- equipment with approximate specifications (e.g. 'medium grade porosity filter paper')
- temperatures with approximate specifications (e.g. 'room temperature; red heat')

Once a particular experimental value has been allocated to the green category the analyst may, for all practical purposes, regard the realisation of those values as easily achievable using basic knowledge, skills and procedures.

2.4.2 Amber Category

This represents a significant degree of control, such as that provided by properly maintained and calibrated equipment for common measurements such as mass, volume, temperature, instrument response, etc. All values appearing in the equation used to calculate the final analytical result would either be in the amber category or the red category (see next Section).

The quality assurance (QA) system of a properly equipped and appointed laboratory will normally provide the appropriate stated references, via a defined policy for ensuring the quality of common measurements. For example, the regular maintenance and calibration of balances by a service engineer should ensure that measurements of mass are traceable to the national/international standard kilogram. The purchase of volumetric glassware with a stated specification from a reputable supplier, combined with procedures for regular maintenance and checking of the glassware, should provide appropriate stated references for volume measurements. The availability of a calibrated thermometer for checking the accuracy of working thermometers will provide traceability for temperature measurements.

A laboratory's QA system should also include a policy for the purchase of common chemical reagents (e.g. conc. nitric acid, SG 1.42; phenol, 80% w/w; potassium iodate, >99.5%; acetonitrile, HPLC grade) from recognised producers and suppliers. Where such producers/suppliers are certified to ISO9001, the purchasing laboratory has the added assurance that the quality systems used in the production and supply of common laboratory chemicals have been the subject of a third party audit. The purchase of laboratory chemicals from a reputable supplier, combined with a policy for their storage and setting a shelf-life once they are received in the laboratory, should ensure that reagents of the specified grade may be realised by the analyst without the need for further special arrangements. The central provision of laboratory services, such as demineralised water and piped gases to a particular specification should also be covered by the QA system.

It is important for the analyst to be aware of exactly what is covered by the laboratory's QA system and that the specifications involved meet the analyst's requirements for a particular SOP. If they do not, the analyst will have to make special arrangements over and above that provided by the QA system and the amber category. Such arrangements will fall into the red category.

2.4.3 Red Category

This also represents a significant degree of control, but one which requires the analyst to select the 'special' stated references needed to carry out a particular SOP. It is important to note that these 'special' stated references are not necessarily difficult to obtain, nor do they necessarily provide a greater degree of control than those in the amber category. However, their selection does require the analyst to give some special consideration as to what will be appropriate, since it cannot be automatically assumed that the laboratory's QA system will cover the requirements of the SOP.

Examples of stated references that would be allocated to the red category include the following:

• Materials with specified values (e.g. purity, concentration, physical properties) that are used for instrument calibration purposes.

- Materials with specified values (e.g. purity, concentration) that are used either as standard titrants, or for standardising titrants, in volumetric procedures.
- Matrix reference materials, where the SOP specifies that a reference material must be included with each batch of test samples analysed.
- Physical properties (e.g. molecular masses, density values) that appear in the equation used to calculate the final analytical result and have to be obtained from tables.
- Individually calibrated items of volumetric glassware, where the tolerance of a class A item is too large to be fit-for-purpose
- Sample extractants where the composition has a significant effect on the final analytical result, e.g. 0.07 mol.L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid used to simulate stomach acid in testing paint on toys for available toxic elements.

3. Identifying the Traceability Requirements for a Standard Operating Procedure – An Example

The following discussion describes the application of the above approach to a typical SOP – the determination of potassium iodide in vitamin tablets. The SOP is given in Appendix 2.

3.1 Key Steps in the Attainment of Traceability

Summarising the discussion of the previous Section, the analyst must carry out the following steps in order to obtain valid and traceable results.

1. Select a properly validated method, with a scope that is applicable to the test sample, both in terms of matrix composition and analyte concentration.

2. Identify the acceptable uncertainty in the final result, i.e. the uncertainty that is consistent with the result being fit-for-purpose.

3. Write down and understand the equation that is used in the SOP to calculate the final analytical result.

4. Identify any reagents or equipment in the SOP with specified values.

5. Identify the fixed experimental conditions used in the SOP.

6. Allocate the values identified in steps 3, 4 and 5 to either the green, amber or red category, depending on the degree of control that needs to be applied when that value is measured or realised in practice.

7. Obtain any 'special' stated references (measurement standards), i.e. those in the red category.

3.2 Application of the Key Steps to the SOP

3.2.1 Steps 1 and 2: Method Selection and Acceptable Uncertainty

For the purposes of this example it is presumed that the SOP has been validated and is applied within its stated scope (step 1). Also the acceptable uncertainty in the final result is taken to be $\pm 5\%$ (step 2). Therefore the degree of control ideally required in any experimentally measured or realised values having a significant effect on the final result (those in the amber and red category) is $\pm 1\%$ or better, i.e. one-fifth of the acceptable overall uncertainty (see Section 2.3.1).

3.2.2 Step 3: Equation

Section 7 of the SOP gives the equation used to calculate the final analytical result. For convenience the equation is also set out below. The method uses a volumetric determination and is based on the equivalence $1\text{KI} \equiv 6\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$.

Iodide Content as KI (μ g/tablet) = $\frac{(T - B) \times M \times MW_{KI} \times 10^6 \times A}{6 \times 1000 \times W}$ eq. 1

Where,

T = Titre(mL)

B = Blank titre (mL)

M = Molarity of sodium thiosulphate (mol.L⁻¹)

A = mean weight of one tablet (g)

W = weight of sample used [equivalent to 20 tablets](g)

 MW_{KI} = molecular mass of KI

All experimental values in the equation will fall into either the amber or red categories, as they all obviously have a direct and significant effect on the final result. Therefore, all of the values, except the unit conversion factors (1000; 10⁶) and the volumetric equivalence factor (6), must be traceable to appropriate stated references. The degree of control that the chosen stated reference must provide is $\pm 1\%$ or better (i.e. one-fifth of the uncertainty that is acceptable in the final result – see Section 2.3.1).

The titre volumes (T and B) are measured using a burette. A laboratory's QA system would normally be expected to provide volumetric glassware that conforms to a recognised specification (e.g. BS846, ISO385) and is obtained from a reputable supplier. Therefore, provided this is the case, the appropriate stated reference (i.e. a burette) for realising the titre volumes with an appropriate degree of control would fall into the amber category.

Examination of manufacturers'specifications given in laboratory supply catalogues shows that various options are available when selecting a burette for a particular application. The table gives three examples:

Type of Burette	Capacity	Graduations	Cost
Class A, borosilicate glass, BS846	10 mL	0.02 mL	£58
Class A, borosilicate glass, BS846	10 mL	0.05 mL	£32
Class B, Schellbach glass, BS846	10 mL	0.1 mL	£19

Any of these burettes will provide the necessary degree of control as they will all enable an expected 10 mL titre to be measured to about $\pm 1\%$ or better, although the Schellbach glass burette is exactly at this limit. The only task for the analyst is to check what specification of volumetric glassware is provided by the laboratory's QA system. If the specification provided by the QA system does not give the necessary degree of control required for a particular SOP, the analyst will have to make special arrangements to obtain the necessary stated reference, i.e. it will fall in the red category and not the amber category.

The stated reference for the molarity of the sodium thiosulphate solution could, in principle, be provided by a commercially produced volumetric standard solution with a stated molarity value. For this purpose the analyst will need to identify a suitable source of the volumetric reagent. For example, 1 litre of a 0.1 mol.L⁻¹ sodium thiosulphate solution, with a tolerance factor of $\pm 0.001 \text{ mol.L}^{-1}$ (i.e. $\pm 1\%$), may be purchased from recognised and reputable laboratory reagent suppliers for about £7.

Alternatively, and as actually specified in the SOP, the molarity value of the sodium thiosulphate solution could be established experimentally by standardisation against potassium iodate. For this purpose the analyst will need to understand the principle underlying the standardisation and the way the molarity value is calculated. The standardisation is based on the following equivalence: $1\text{KIO}_3 \equiv 6\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$. The calculation of the molarity is based on the equation below :

$$M \text{ (mol/litre)} = \frac{\text{mass of KIO}_3 \times \text{Purity of KIO}_3 \times 1000 \times 6}{\text{MW}_{\text{KIO}_3} \times \text{volume of Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3} \dots \text{eq. 2}$$

In terms of establishing traceability, the principal task of the analyst is to identify and obtain an appropriate source of potassium iodate, as this is now the stated reference on which the molarity of the sodium thiosulphate is based and to which it is traceable. The important property of the potassium iodate is its purity and the uncertainty of the purity value. Examination of catalogues from various suppliers shows that a number of options are available:

Type of Potassium Iodate	Purity	Cost
General purpose grade (GPR)	>99.5%	£15/100 g
Analytical grade (AR)	>99.9%	£18/100 g
Certified reference material (CRM)	$99.96 \pm 0.03\%$	£30/50 g

The uncertainty (degree of control) provided by the different potassium iodate materials improves progressively from the general purpose grade chemical through to the certified reference material with a formally certified purity value.

For the present example, any of these materials could be used to standardise the sodium thiosulphate, since even the GPR material would contribute $<\pm1\%$ to the uncertainty of the experimentally determined molarity of the sodium thiosulphate solution. However, in view of the small cost difference, selection of the AR grade chemical might be considered the preferred choice.

The CRM, issued by the National Institute of Technology and Evaluation, Japan, has been certified in accordance with international guidelines e.g. ISO Guide 35: 'Certification of reference materials – general and statistical principles'. Consequently this material would provide traceability to a value established by internationally recognised procedures, which additionally has full documentation and a stated uncertainty. In certain critical applications (e.g. where an analysis may be part of a legal dispute), the use of a formally certified material might be preferable, since there is less scope for criticism of a result on the grounds that an inappropriate standard has been used.

Finally, if the cost of using the CRM on a frequent basis is considered prohibitive, an option may be to use the CRM occasionally to verify the purity of a large batch of the AR grade material. The latter may then be used on a daily basis for the routine analysis purposes.

The above considerations show that the analyst must give some special thought to identifying an appropriate stated reference to establish the traceability of the sodium thiosulphate molarity value (M) in equation 1. It is considered unlikely that a stated reference would be provided by a laboratory's QA system. This value is therefore allocated to the red category.

Values for molecular masses (MW) appear in both equations 1 and 2. The analyst will need to adopt appropriate values for these. This is a straightforward matter, simply requiring up-to-date tables and an accurate addition of the component atomic weights. Calculation to three decimal places will provide molecular weight values with an uncertainty of $< \pm 0.1\%$, which will be fit-for-purpose for use in virtually all SOPs.

Because the analyst is required to do the calculations (it is considered unlikely that the laboratory's QA system would provide molecular weight values), they are allocated to the red category.

Measurements of mass also appear in both equations 1 and 2. A tablet weight (A) of the order of 1 g should be measurable to about ± 0.0004 g on a 4-figure analytical balance. This corresponds to a degree of control in the measurement of such mass values of about $\pm 0.04\%$, which is more than adequate for the purposes of this example. In contrast, a 2-figure top-pan balance would be expected to provide an uncertainty of about ± 0.04 g, equivalent to a degree of control of $\pm 4\%$, which is not fit for purpose.

The combined mass (W) of 20 tablets (about 20 g) taken for the actual analysis could be measured to within $\pm 0.2\%$ on a 2-figure top-pan balance, which is fit-for-purpose. A 4-figure analytical balance would also, of course, be fit-for-purpose.

Therefore balances properly calibrated and maintained as part of a laboratory's QA system and properly selected by the analyst will provide the necessary degree of control for the mass measurements. They are therefore allocated to the amber category.

Similar considerations show that if the KIO₃ stock solution used in the standardisation of the sodium thiosulphate (equation 2) is prepared using a 4-figure analytical balance, an adequate degree of control will be obtained. A known aliquot volume of this solution is then taken using volumetric glassware (a pipette). The term 'mass of KIO₃' in equation 2 is actually the product of the concentration of the stock solution and the aliquot volume taken. As discussed previously in relation to the use of burettes, appropriate volumetric glassware for realising volumes will normally be provided by the laboratory's QA system.

Special Note

Where an SOP gives a specific instruction as to the degree of control required in a particular step, such as:

- weigh on a 4-figure balance;
- use calibrated volumetric glassware with an individual certificate;
- calibrate the instrument with NIST SRM 3108 [Cd solution in HNO₃ :9.12±0.03 mg/g]

this degree of control must be applied, even if the considerations discussed above indicate that a less stringent degree of control would be fit-for-purpose.

Summary

The outcome of the above discussion is summarised in the table below, in which each of the values referred to in equations 1 and 2 are assigned to the colour category which identifies how the appropriate degree of control may be obtained.

Value in Equation	Colour Category	Minimum Action Required by Analyst to Obtain the Appropriate Stated References
T = Titre (mL)	Amber	Use volumetric glassware
B = Blank titre (mL)	Amber	Use volumetric glassware
A = mean weight of one tablet (g)	Amber	Use analytical balance (4-fig)
W = weight of sample used (g)	Amber	Use top-pan balance (2-fig)
$MW_{KIO3} = molecular mass of KIO_3$	Red	Calculate to 3 d.p. using up-to- date tables
$MW_{KI} = molecular mass of KI$	Red	Calculate to 3 d.p. using up-to- date tables
$M = Molarity of Na_2S_2O_3$	Red	Standardise using KIO ₃
Mass of KIO_3 (g)	Amber	Use analytical balance (4-fig)
Purity of KIO ₃	Red	Choose reagent with required purity and uncertainty
Volume of Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Amber	Use volumetric glassware

The table above reinforces the earlier comments that values appearing in the equation will always fall in either the amber or red categories since they all have a direct and significant effect on the final analytical result.

It is important to note that the equation must always be written out in full and explicitly. Occasionally, SOPs will be found with equations in a shortened form. For example, dilution factors, unit conversion factors and certain physical constants may be combined into a single numerical value. Equation 3 is an example of this, in which the unit

conversion factors (10^6 and 10^3), the volumetric equivalence factor (6) and the molecular weight value for KI (166.002) of equation 1 have been combined to give a single numerical factor, 27667.

Iodide Content as KI (
$$\mu$$
g/tablet) = $\frac{(T - B) \times M \times A \times 27667}{W}$eq 3

When an equation of this type is encountered the analyst must identify all of individual component parts making up the numerical factor, in this case:

$$27667 = \frac{MW_{KI} \times 10^6}{6 \times 10^3} \dots \text{eq } 4$$

The traceability and corresponding degree of control requirements for each component may then be properly considered.

SOPs may be encountered that do not present an equation in any form. Instead they may simply include a statement to the effect that the calculations are carried out using software and a data processing system. In such instances the analyst must establish the exact form of the equation that has been programmed into the data system and use this to assess the traceability requirements.

Finally, if the data processing software performs additional data manipulations to those involving the equation, the analyst must take due account of this. For example, if the software also carries out automatic corrections for interferences or non-linear calibration plots, the validity of these procedures must be established as part of the requirement to select a properly validated method, as per step 1, Section 3.1.

3.2.3 Step 4: Identify Reagents and Equipment in the SOP with Specified Values

(a) Equipment with Specified Values

Section 3 of the SOP (Appendix 2) lists the equipment requirements and examination of these shows that certain values are specified, e.g.:

- Fused silica crucibles, 50 mL capacity, 57 mm diameter;
- Whatman filter paper 541, 18.5 cm diameter

However, an experienced analyst will readily appreciate that these values are generally provided for indicative information purposes only. They clearly will have no significant effect on the final analytical result. Only minimal control is required in the 'realisation' of these values. They are therefore allocated to the green category.

(b) Reagents with Specified Values

Section 4 of the SOP lists the reagent requirements and many of these specify values or other information regarding the reagent, e.g.:

- Purified water
- Phenol 80% w/w, reagent grade
- Phenol solution 5% v/v
- Bromine, reagent grade
- Potassium carbonate, reagent grade
- Orthophosphoric acid, 88% reagent grade
- Potassium iodate, reagent grade
- Sodium thiosulphate, 0.1 mol.L⁻¹ analytical volumetric solution

Certain of the specified values clearly refer to chemical reagents that are produced and sold by commercial manufacturers, such as phenol 80% w/w, reagent grade and sodium thiosulphate, 0.1 mol.L⁻¹ analytical volumetric solution. It is reasonable for the analyst to presume that such values may be realised in practice with an appropriate degree of control by using chemicals of the prescribed specification that have been obtained from reputable manufacturers. Additionally, such chemicals should be properly stored once received in the laboratory and assigned an 'expiry date', after which they should not be used. For certain reagents, it may also be appropriate for the laboratory policy to stipulate, say, that the last 10% or 5% of reagent remaining in a bottle should not be used, but discarded. The foregoing approach to sourcing and using common chemical reagents with a 'commercial' specification would normally be documented in a laboratory's QA system. Such values are therefore allocated to the amber category.

Some of the reagents in the above list do not have a stated value attached to them, for example bromine is just specified as 'reagent grade'. In such cases the analyst will need to judge whether a grade suitable for general laboratory work or analytical work is required. In either case, the sourcing and use of such chemicals should be covered by the QA system and therefore the corresponding traceability requirements are allocated to the amber category.

The reagent list also specifies 'purified' water, but gives no further details of the required purity level. In such circumstances the analyst's experience might suggest that water of a purity typically provided by a properly functioning de-ionisation system would be appropriate. The supply of demineralised water to a specification appropriate for typical analytical work would be expected to be covered by the laboratory's QA system. It would therefore be allocated to the amber category. If a particular analysis posed special water purity requirements over and above that appropriate for more routine analytical work the analyst would need to address these requirements. The traceability requirements for the purity specification would then be allocated to the red category.

Examination of the SOP, as discussed in step 3 (Section 3.2.2), shows that potassium iodate is used to standardise the sodium thiosulphate solution, the latter being used to determine the KI content of the tablet samples. Therefore, sodium thiosulphate solution with the specified value (0.1M) controlled to a sufficient degree may be obtained from a reputable supplier of such reagents. It therefore falls into the amber category.

The potassium iodate is simply specified as 'reagent grade'. However, in view of its function as the essential measurement standard in the SOP, to which the final analytical result is ultimately traceable, the analyst must consider its traceability and degree of control requirements. It is therefore allocated to the red category. See Section 3.2.2 for more discussion regarding the choice of the particular grade of potassium iodate that could be used to provide traceability for results obtained using this SOP.

3.2.4 Step 5: Identify the Fixed Experimental Conditions used in the SOP

Section 5 of the SOP refers to 'fixed experimental conditions' at various stages of the sample preparation procedure, for example:

- Add 7 g of potassium carbonate.....ignite the mixture for 25 minutes in a muffle furnace at 675 °C to 700 °C
- Cool, add 20 mL of water

The analyst must obtain or realise values such as these experimentally, with an appropriate degree of control.

The sample preparation requires 7 g of potassium carbonate to be mixed with the ground tablet sample. Reviewing this step in the context of the entire method shows that the value of 7 g does not contribute significantly to the final analytical result. As the SOP does not specify a tolerance for the specified 7 g, a reasonable assumption on the part of the analyst is that it could be controlled to an appropriate degree by weighing on a toppan balance to 1 decimal place. The calibration of the balance used is expected to be covered by the laboratory's QA system, therefore the required degree of control for the 7 g measurement is allocated to the amber category.

The practical realisation of a time of 25 minutes is a very simple task. Almost any clock or watch will provide the necessary degree of control, time intervals easily being measured to within ± 1 minute. The required degree of control is therefore allocated to the green category.

The degree of control required when obtaining the specified furnace temperature depends on the effect departures from the specified value will have on the final analytical result. As discussed in Section 2.3.2, information obtained from method validation work may be helpful in addressing such issues. In general terms, if the ignition temperature is too low, there may be incomplete release of the KI from the tablet matrix. Conversely, if it is too high, losses by volatilisation may occur.

The fact that the SOP specifies a temperature range of 675 °C to 700 °C suggests that an actual temperature of 687 °C \pm 12 °C should be appropriate. A degree of control of \pm 12 °C should be readily obtainable using the temperature read-out device attached to the muffle furnace. It would be sensible to verify the accuracy of this read-out, say on a yearly basis, by making a cross-check with a calibrated device, such as a platinum resistance thermometer. The provision and use of formally calibrated reference thermometers for checking the performance of working thermometers is an activity that would normally be covered by a laboratory's QA system. Stated references for temperature measurement are therefore allocated to the amber category.

If a laboratory's QA system does not provide calibrated reference thermometers, the analyst will have to make special arrangements when realising experimentally specified temperatures. It would then be allocated to the red category.

The addition of 20 mL of water to the residue from the ignition stage, when reviewed in the context of the entire method, is seen to require only a basic degree of control. The 20 mL could be reasonably dispensed using a measuring cylinder, or even a graduated beaker. The degree of control required of this experimental value is therefore allocated to the green category.

3.2.5 Equipment and Reagents with Specified Values with no impact on the Traceability

Sections 4.5.1 and 6.4 of the example in Appendix 2 refer to containers with specified volumes. These volumes do not affect the result but are included to assist the analyst in procuring equipment large enough to contain the amount of material being handled. As discussed in section 2.4 these are features of the SOP, which although important in successfully executing the procedure, have no impact on the traceability of the method, and consequently do not require categorisation. These are assigned to the grey category.

3.2.6 Step 6: Traceability Statement

The outcome of the above evaluation of the traceability requirements for the SOP for determining potassium iodide in vitamin tablets is summarised by the colour coding of the relevant text, as given in Appendix 2. Having completed the traceability evaluation, the analyst must then include a traceability statement for those stated references assigned to the 'red category', which may be written into the SOP or the Validation Report. This statement should indicate the principles and procedures on which the property values are based and identify where traceability can be related to stated references e.g. calibrated volumetric glassware, calibrated weights and balances and where traceability is achieved through the use of certified reference materials. In the latter case, details of the material must be given, which itself must contain a traceability statement. Documentary evidence of the traceability chain should be kept and made available to customers on request.

A suitable traceability statement for those values identified as requiring the highest degree of control (i.e. assigned to the red category) in determining potassium iodide in vitamin tablets would be:

"An evaluation of the traceability requirements for the method for determining potassium iodide in vitamin tablets identified four property values requiring a high degree of control. Traceability of these values has been achieved as follows:

- potassium iodate
 - *supplied by XXXXX, product code YYYYY with a purity of ZZ.Z%*
- molarity of standardised sodium thiosulphate
 - standardised using potassium iodate and calibrated volumetric glassware
- vitamin tablet sample weight
 - all weighings carried out on calibrated balances traceable to national standards
- molecular weights of potassium iodate and potassium iodide

• to 3 decimal places from IUPAC tables (www.chem.qmul.ac.uk/iupac/AtWt/index.html)"

When reporting the results of the analysis carried out using the SOP, the laboratory should state that all critical parameters used in the method are traceable to recognised national or international standards.

4. Other Examples

Appendix 3 gives other examples of analytical procedures that have been evaluated for their traceability and degree of control requirements according to the approaches described in this Guide.

The analytical procedures concerned are:

- 1. SOP: FDS/3. Sample Preparation by Dry Ashing
- 2. SOP: INS/1. Quantitative Analysis of Aqueous Extracts by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry
- 3. SOP: FDS/2. Determination of Dimetridazole in Animal Feedingstuffs by High Performance Liquid Chromatography
- 4. SOP: ENV/1. Extraction of Metals from Soil by Aqua-Regia
- 5. SOP: ENV/3. Determination of Water-Soluble Sulphate in Soil
- 6. SOP: ENV/2. Determination of Common Anions in Waters by Ion Chromatography
- 7. SOP: CLIN/2. Analysis of Total Sodium from Serum by Atomic Emission Spectrometry
- 8. SOP: FDS/4. Determination of Ash in organic Matter and the Preparation of Aqueous Solutions for Quantitative Analysis
- 9. SOP: INS/2. Determination of the Trace Elements Iron, Copper, Manganese and Zinc in Solution by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy
- 10. SOP: INS/3. Electrogravimetric Determination of Copper

Bibliography

Traceability in Chemical Measurement. A guide to achieving comparable results in chemical measurements. (www.eurachem.ul.pt)

The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods: A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics. (www.eurachem.ul.pt)

Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement, 2nd edition, (www.eurachem.ul.pt)

5. Appendix 1 - Rationale for traceability category assignment.

Grey Category	Control unnecessary e.g. tongs, desiccators or no traceability issue i.e. parameter does not influence the result. However, in some cases any deviation from a stated equipment, which has no influence on the traceability, would be a change in the method e.g. platinum or quartz crucibles.
	A minimal degree of control in which normal, routine laboratory equipment, reagents, etc are able to provide appropriate stated references.
Green category	E.g. approximate measurements/specifications, such as volume (beaker/measuring cylinder), time (wall clock), length (ruler), concentration (approx. 6M HCl), temperature (room temperature)
Amber Category	A significant degree of control, such as that provided by appropriately maintained and calibrated equipment for common measurements such as mass, volume, instrument response, etc. The QA system of a properly equipped and appointed laboratory will normally provide the appropriate stated references.
Category	E.g. properly maintained and calibrated equipment such as volumetric flasks, analytical balances, common chemical reagents of specified concentration/purity (conc. nitric acid, acetonitrile HPLC grade)
Red category	Also a significant degree of control, but one which requires the analyst to select the 'special' stated references' needed to carry out a particular SOP. E.g. special stated references needed such as materials with specified values (concentration/purity) used for instrument calibration, matrix reference materials used for QC, physical properties (molecular weights), individually calibrated glassware
N1	Does not affect the result – containers only
N2	Specified equipment with no impact on traceability
N3	Important with respect to the accuracy of the result, but no impact on traceability
G1	Approximate temperature (do not need specially calibrated thermometer)
G2	Approximate time
G3	Approximate weight
G4	Approximate volume
G5	Approximate concentrations prepared from specified reagents
G6	Routine laboratory equipment. No significant effect on final result
G7	Approximate quantities
A1	Purity important but not a calibrant (therefore not red category)
A2	Specified reagents covered by Lab QA procedure.
A3	Specified equipment covered by Lab QA procedure.
A4	Analytical balance

A5	Volumetric glassware
A6	Value obtained using properly maintained and calibrated instrument covered by normal laboratory QA procedures
A7	Instrument conditions – maintenance covered by laboratory QA procedures
A8	<i>Equipment not normally available in laboratory and purchased specifically for this SOP.</i>
A9	Process conditions
A10	Actual mass taken is not critical, but need to know it accurately
A11	Important but information comes with instrument e.g. lamp wavelengths
A12	Temperature range important
A13	Time requiring a significant degree of control – use a stop watch
R1	Purity reagent used for standardisation – reagent with required purity and uncertainty
R2	Standardised solution
R3	Molecular masses - calculate from tables
R4	Specified reagents not covered by laboratory QA procedures
R5	Internal quality control solutions - Run with each batch, analyst must decide on suitable material.
R6	Used for calibration
R7	Used for quality control
R8	QC materials – analyst must decide
R9	Reference materials – analyst must decide
R10	Experimentally determined value
6. Appendix 2 (Worked Example)

This SOP is discussed in detail in section 3 of the guide.

NOTE

This example of an SOP has been compiled from various sources for the specific purpose of illustrating the principles of measurement traceability. In the form it is written it is not intended for use on the bench.

The degree of control requirements for the various experimental values, as indicated by the colour coding, are based on group discussions at LGC and at a series of sector based workshops organised by LGC and held in July 2003, September 2004 and November 2004.

The rationale for the choice of colour coding is given by an alphanumeric code, which relates to one of the explanations given in appendix 1. The colour codings are provided for discussion purposes, not as definitive and final answers. Readers may well have their own views.

Generally, only the first occurrence of a stated reference in the SOP is colour coded.

6.1 SOP:FDS/1: DETERMINATION OF POTASSIUM IODIDE IN VITAMIN TABLETS

1. SCOPE

The method is for the analysis of potassium iodide in multivitamin tablets, where the potassium iodide is in the range 117-143 μ g/tablet.

2. PRINCIPLE

The tablets are ashed to remove any organic impurities and to free the potassium iodide. The ashed sample is then extracted with boiling water and the potassium iodide is converted to potassium iodate by reaction with bromine water. Phosphoric acid is added to liberate excess bromine, which is then removed by boiling. Iodide is added to react with the iodate to yield iodine. The free iodine is then determined by titrating against a standardised solution of sodium thiosulphate.

3. APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory equipment, the following is required:

3.1	Fused silica crucibles with lids, (50 mL capacity, 57 mm diameter).	G 6
3.2	Filter paper, Whatman, No.541, 18.5 cm diameter	G 6

3.3 Muffle furnace

4. **REAGENTS**

4 .1	Purified water	A2
4.2	Phenol, 80% w/w, reagent grade	<i>A2</i>
4.2.1	Phenol solution, 5% v/v	G5
	Prepare by diluting 5 mL of phenol (4.2) with water (4.1) to 88 mL in a measuring cylinder. Transfer to a suitable container and mix well before use.	G 4
4.3	Bromine reagent grade	<i>A2</i>
4.3.1	Saturated Bromine Water	A2
Prepar	re in a fume cupboard	
	Pipette 1 mL of bromine (4.3) into a 50 mL volumetric flask. Make up to volume with water (4.1), stopper and mix well.	A5
4.4	Potassium carbonate reagent grade	A2
4.5	Potassium iodide, reagent grade	A2
4.5.1	Potassium iodide solution, 16% w/v	
Weigh mL gra mix we	approximately 16 g of potassium iodide (4.5), into a 50 mL beaker. Transfer to a $100 \text{ nduated flask}$ with water (4.1). Make up to volume with water (4.1), stopper flask and ell.	A4, N1, A5
4.6	Orthophosphoric acid 88%, reagent grade	A2
4.6.1	Orthophosphoric acid, 50% v/v	G5

Prepare in a fume cupboard

Prepare cylinder	by diluting 50 mL of orthophosphoric acid (4.6) to 88 mL of water (4.1) in a measuring r. Transfer to a suitable container and mix well before use.	G 4
4.7	Thyodene, indicator	A2
4.8	Potassium iodate, reagent grade	R1
4.9	Sodium thiosulphate 0.1 mol.L ⁻¹ , analytical volumetric solution	A2
4.9.1	Sodium thiosulphate, 0.01 mol.L ⁻¹	R2
	Pipette 50 mL of sodium thiosulphate (4.9) into a 500 mL graduated flask. Make up to volume with water, stopper flask and mix well. Standardise the sodium thiosulphate against a solution of potassium iodate. The method used is that detailed in <i>Quantitative Inorganic Analysis, A.I. Vogel, fourth edition, page 375.</i>	A5 R1

5. SAMPLE PREPARATION

- 5.1 Weigh 20 tablets to four decimal places using an analytical balance and record the *A4* weight. Calculate the mean tablet weight.
- 5.2 Grind the above 20 tablets plus another 20 tablets as finely as possible using a pestle and mortar.

6. METHOD

The analysis is carried out in duplicate, for each batch of tablets. A blank determination, omitting the sample, is also carried out.

6.1	Using long tongs place crucible and lid in a muffle set at 675 °C, for 25 minutes. Remove immediately from muffle, place on a heatproof mat and cool to room temperature.	G1, G2 G1
6.2	Weigh to four decimal places a sample weight equivalent to twenty tablets into a dry crucible.	A4
6.3	Add 7 g of anhydrous potassium carbonate (4.4) mix carefully, and gently tap the crucible several times to compact the mixture. Overlay with an additional 10 g of potassium carbonate, and again compact the mixture thoroughly by tapping. Ignite the mixture for 2.5 minutes at 675 °C to 700 °C in a muffle furnace preheated to that	A4, A4, G2, A12+A7
6.4	Cool, add 20 mL of water, or more if necessary, heat gently to boiling, and decant through a filter (3.2) into a conical flask of suitable size (for example 500 mL).	G4 N1
6.5	Repeat the extraction by boiling with $\frac{20 \text{ mL}}{20 \text{ mL}}$ of water, then wash the crucible and the char on the filter with hot water until the filtrate measures approximately $\frac{200 \text{ mL}}{200 \text{ mL}}$.	G4 G4
6.6	Add 7 mL of freshly prepared bromine water (4.3.1), then slowly add $\frac{40 \text{ mL}}{40 \text{ mL}}$ of dilute phosphoric acid (4.6.1), and boil until starch iodide paper is no longer coloured blue by the vapours. During the boiling add water from time to time, as necessary, to maintain a volume of at least 200 mL.	G4, G4 G4
6.7	Wash down the walls of the flask with water and continue the boiling for 5 minutes.	<i>G2</i>
6.8	Cool, add <mark>5 mL</mark> of phenol solution (4.2.1), again rinse the walls of the flask and allow to stand for 5 minutes.	G4, G2
6.9	Add 2 mL of dilute phosphoric acid (4.6.1) and 5 mL of potassium iodide (4.5.1) and titrate immediately with 0.01M sodium thiosulphate (4.9.1) adding thyodene (4.7) as the end point is neared.	G4, G4

7. CALCULATION OF RESULTS

Essentially the above procedure is based on the following reaction:

 $IKI = 6Na_{2}S_{2}O_{3}$ Iodide Content as KI (µg/tablet) = $\frac{(T - B) \times M \times MW_{KI} \times 10^{6} \times A}{6 \times 1000 \times W}$ standardisation of sodium thiosulphate: $IKIO_{3} = 6Na_{2}S_{2}O_{3}$ $M (mol/litre) = \frac{mass of KIO_{3} \times Purity of KIO_{3} \times 1000 \times 6}{MW_{KIO_{3}} \times volume of Na_{2}S_{2}O_{3}}$



Where:

ľ

T	= Titre (mL)	A5
<mark>B</mark>	= Blank titre (mL)	A5
M	= Molarity of sodium thiosulphate after standardisation ($mol.L^{-1}$)	R2
A	= mean weight of one tablet (g) (mean of 20 tablets)	<i>A4</i>
W	= weight of sample used (g)	<i>A4</i>
MW _{KIO3}	= molecular mass of KIO_3	<i>R3</i>
MW_{KI}	= molecular mass of KI	<i>R3</i>

7. Appendix 3

Examples of Other SOPs

NOTE

These examples of SOPs have been compiled from various sources for the specific purpose of illustrating the principles of measurement traceability. In the form they are written they are not intended for use on the bench.

The degree of control requirements for the various experimental values, as indicated by the colour coding, are based on group discussions at LGC and at a series of sector based workshops organised by LGC and held in July 2003, September 2004 and November 2004.

The rationale for the choice of colour coding is given by an alphanumeric code, which relates to one of the explanations given in appendix 1. The colour codings are provided for discussion purposes, not as definitive and final answers. Readers may well have their own views.

Generally, only the first occurrence of a stated reference in an SOP is colour coded.

7.1 SOP: FDS/3: SAMPLE PREPARATION BY DRY ASHING

1 SCOPE AND PRINCIPLE

The method applies to the quantitative analysis of trace elements of nutritional importance, in food and biological materials. (It is not suitable for oils and fats, and special care is required for foods with high fat or sugar content). The method is applicable for the following elements - Na, K, Ca, Mg, P, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn.

The method involves the removal of organic matter by controlled combustion in a muffle furnace, the inorganic residue being dissolved in hydrochloric acid solution, ready for analysis by inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (SOP:INS/1).

2 APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory apparatus, the following is required:

2.1	Muffle furnace, 0-1000 °C model.	A3
2.2	Silica dishes, 55 mm x 30 mm deep, each is uniquely labelled before use by burning on a code number written with a wax pencil. Only dishes that are not chipped, scratched or otherwise damaged, may be used.	G 6
2.3	Tilt measures, 5 mL and 10 mL.	G 4
	All glassware and plastic vessels should be cleaned before use by rinsing with 5% nitric acid (3.5), followed by thorough rinsing with purified water (3.4).	
2.4	Tongs	N2

3 REAGENTS

3.1	Nitric acid, concentrated, s.g 1.42 'Aristar' grade	A2
3.2	Hydrochloric acid, concentrated, s.g. 1.18 'Aristar' grade	A2
3.3	Hydrochloric acid solution 50% v/v (aq) prepared by diluting (3.2), with (3.4) and stored in a plastic vessel.	G5
3.4	Purified water from an Elgastat.	A2
3.5	Nitric acid, 5% v/v (aq) prepared by diluting (3.1) with (3.4) and stored in a plastic vessel.	G5
3.6	Nitric acid $10\% v/v$ (aq) prepared by diluting (3.1) with (3.4).	G 5

4 QUALITY CONTROL MATERIALS

A variety of matrix reference materials are available. Normally the material most closely *R8* resembling the material under test will be analysed.

5 INSTRUMENT OPERATING CONDITIONS

The temperature control of the muffle furnace is set via the Eurotherm controller on the instrument. Full operating instructions may be found in the manual, but the following

parameters must be set:

Ramp Rate 1	(rl)	1.6 °C/minute	}		A7
Level 1	(L1)	400 °C	}	CHARRING	A7
Dwell 1	(d1)	30 minutes	}		<i>G2</i>
Ramp Rate 2	(r2)	5 °C/minute	}		A7
Level 2	(L2)	500 °C	}	ASHING	A7
Dwell 2	(d2)	960 minutes	}		<i>G2</i>
Total duration of programme is approximately 21 hours.				<i>G2</i>	

6 SAMPLE PREPARATION

The sample must be comminuted as finely as possible (mixer, chopper, mincer etc.,) and then homogenised in a food processor or liquidiser. Care must be taken not to contaminate the sample, only stainless steel or plastic implements should be used where possible.

7 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

7.1 Dish cleaning procedure

Silica dishes (2.2) must be cleaned before each analysis as follows:

- 7.1.1 Soak the silica dishes (2.2) at least overnight in 10% nitric acid (3.6) in a plastic *G2* container. This container must be stored in the fume cupboard.
- 7.1.2 Rinse the soaked silica dishes copiously with purified water. If the dish contains any particle residues, clean it with a plastic brush and rinse with purified water.
- 7.1.3 Place the dish on the hot plate heated to $150 \,^{\circ}$ C to dry, then cool to room temperature in *G1, G1* a desiccator until required for use.

7.2 Ashing Procedure

- 7.2.1Weigh a cleaned labelled silica ashing dish, to 0.1 mg.A4
- 7.2.2Transfer approximately 2 g of freeze dried sample or 5 g fresh sample into the dish,
spread the sample into a thin layer, and reweigh to 0.1 mg.A10, A10
A4
- 7.2.3 If the sample is mostly liquid, it must be dried down gradually on a hot plate before transferring to the muffle furnace. Samples containing lots of sugar or fat are liable to spit, and must be charred over a Bunsen flame or under an infra-red lamp, before placing in the muffle furnace.
- 7.2.4 Place into a muffle furnace, whilst still cold, the sample dishes, at least one empty dish to act as a sample blank, and the appropriate quality control material(s) to act as quality control standard(s). Reset and ensure the programme is as specified in section 5. Press the RUN button to start the programme.
- 7.2.5 After 16 hours at 500 ± 10 °C open the furnace door and leave to cool to 200 °C *G2, A7,* according to the digital muffle readout. Heating will stop automatically as soon as the door is opened. *A7*
- 7.2.6 If the ash contains black carbonaceous material, allow the dishes to cool to room G_1 ,

	temperature. Add sufficient purified water (3.4) to dampen the ash, dry down on a hot plate, and place back in the muffle furnace for a further $\frac{3 \text{ hours}}{3 \text{ hours}}$ at $\frac{500 \pm 10 \text{ °C}}{2000 \text{ C}}$.	G2, A7
	7.3 <u>Preparation of solutions</u>	
7.3.1	Allow the dishes to cool to less than 100 °C according to the digital muffle and remove using long tongs (2.4).	<i>A</i> 7
7.3.2	Add 10 mL of 50% hydrochloric acid (3.3) using a tilt measure (2.3), and carefully transfer the dishes to a hot plate in a fume cupboard. Evaporate to dryness, but do not bake the sample.	G 4
7.3.3	Add a further 10 mL of 50% hydrochloric acid (3.3), warm the dish for approximately 30 seconds, and then transfer the solution, with the aid of a funnel to a plastic volumetric flask using distilled water for washing. Care should be taken not to allow the solution to run down the outside of the dish.	G4, G2, A5
7.3.4	Add a further 10 mL of 50% hydrochloric acid, warm the dish for approximately 20 seconds then transfer the solution to the same flask. Rinse the dish with purified water into the flask. Allow the solutions to cool to room temperature and then make up to volume (V), mixing well. On standing if a solution contains particles, filter through an ashless filter paper and treat blank(s) and reference material(s) similarly. Store the solution in a plastic bottle previously rinsed with 5% nitric acid (3.5) and distilled water.	G4, G2, A5
775		

7.3.5 Measure the trace element concentration by inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (SOP:INS/1).

7.4 <u>Calculation of Results</u>

7.4.1 In-put the results measured by ICP-MS into the following equation (applicable for the following elements - Na, K, Ca, Mg, P, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn):

Concentration of element (mg/100g) =
$$\frac{(C_s - C_B) \times V}{(10 \times W)}$$

Where:

$Cs = Concentration of element in sample solution , (\mu g/mL), as determined by ICP-MS$	R10
C_B = Concentration of element in sample blank solution (µg/mL), as determined by ICP-MS	R10
V = Final volume that the ashed sample is made up to (mL)	A5
W = weight of sample taken for digestion (g)	<i>A4</i>
(Note: See SOP INS/1 for details on the derivations for C_S and C_B .)	

7.5 Acceptability Criteria for QC material results

- 7.5.1 The results obtained for the QC material must lie within the acceptable in-house limits.
- 7.5.2 If the results falls outside the action limits, fail the associated batch and repeat the samples. Inform the responsible analyst and record the failure in the trace element QC failure action book (trace element laboratory) together with the corrective action taken to remedy the situation.

7.2 SOP: INS/1: QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS BY INDUCTIVELY COUPLED PLASMA - MASS SPECTROMETRY

1. SCOPE

The method is applicable to the quantitative determination of elements in the mass range 7 to 260 amu in diluted and undiluted aqueous extracts at concentrations in the range 0.01 ng/mL to 0.5 g/mL. The method is not applicable to the determination of organometallic compounds such as alkyl lead or mercury compounds.

2. PRINCIPLE

The sample extract is aspirated into the plasma of the ICP-MS instrument and the positive elemental ions that are produced are passed into a quadrupole mass spectrometer where they are separated according to their mass/charge ratio. The ions are detected by an electron multiplier tube and are quantified by comparison to a previously prepared calibration curve for the isotopes/elements of interest. Major suppression or enhancement effects are compensated for by use of an internal standard (rhodium).

3. APPARATUS

3.1 Perkin Elmer Elan 5000A inductively coupled mass spectrometer operating under the following typical conditions:

Power: 1000 – 1100W	A7
Gas flows:-	
Coolant: 15 L/min	A7
Auxiliary: 0.80 L/min	A7
Nebuliser: 0.85 to 0.95 L/min	A7
Sample uptake: 0.5 to 1.5 mL/min	A7

Note: the sample uptake rate affects the sampling position in the plasma, ion intensities and the formation of oxides and doubly charged ions. Once set, all standards and samples shall be analysed using the same sample uptake rate.

4. REAGENTS

4.1	Nitric, sulphuric and hydrochloric acids (Baker Ultrex II acids, or equivalent)	A2
4.2	De-ionised water (from Elga maxima purification unit), >17.8 M Ω resistivity	A2

5. CALIBRATION STANDARDS

5.1 Certified plasma emission standards (nominal 10000 µg/mL) covering the elements of interest	<i>R6</i>
5.2 Rhodium internal standard solution (nominal 10000 µg/mL) (supplier as above)	A1

6. SAMPLE PREPARATION

If necessary, sample extracts must be diluted, immediately prior to analysis, using 1% (v/v) nitric acid (or another acid, as appropriate) to bring the elemental concentration into the calibration range of the instrument (<1 μ g/mL). To achieve this an accurately measured aliquot is diluted to a known volume. A suitable internal standard (e.g. rhodium) is also added at a concentration of 5 ng/mL, which is appropriate for most situations.

7. VALIDATION OF INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

The instrument must be set up and its performance validated as described in the manufacturer's user manual.

8. INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION

8.1 Multi-Element Stock Solutions of the Elements to be Determined

A multi-element stock solution containing each of the elements of interest at $10 \mu g/mL$ is prepared in 1% (v/v) nitric acid by volumetric dilution, using individual concentrated solutions purchased from a commercial supplier (5.1).

8.2 Internal Standard (Rhodium) Stock Solution

A stock solution of rhodium at 10 μ g/mL in 1% (v/v) nitric acid is prepared by volumetric dilution of the concentrated solution purchased from a commercial supplier (5.2)

8.3 Calibration Standards

Standard solutions for calibrating the instrument are prepared by volumetric dilution from the multi-element stock solution (8.1), in a matrix that matches as closely as possible that of the samples to be analysed. At least two calibration solutions are prepared covering the expected concentrations in the samples, plus a blank (e.g. 0, 50 and 100 ng/mL). The multi-element calibration standard solutions must also contain the internal standard (8.2) at a concentration of 5 ng/mL.

8.4 Calibration Procedure

Calibration is performed according to the procedures detailed in the instrument user's manual and before any test samples are analysed. Where possible, at least two isotopes of each element are to be measured. The calibration solutions are aspirated into the instrument and between 3 and 5 replicate measurements are obtained per calibration solution. A parameter file is created for the elements of interest for the purposes of data acquisition. Acquisition is performed in the 'peak-hop' mode using one point per peak.

The blank solution is also measured and blank readings are subtracted from all subsequent measurements of standards. A calibration line is constructed that is 'linear thru zero'.

9. SAMPLE ANALYSIS

The test samples are analysed in the same manner as the calibration solutions, using the same parameter file for the acquisition of data. A wash step using 1 to 5% (v/v) nitric acid is included between each sample and standard of at least 1 min at 1 mL/min uptake rate. Some elements are prone to memory effects in the sample introduction system and

G7

G5

G2.

R6

A5

LGC/VAM/Traceability Guide

may require the wash time to be doubled and a subsequent blank analysis to check such G7 effects have been eliminated.

One calibration standard is analysed every sixth sample to check for instrument drift. If significant drift is observed the instrument is re-calibrated and all samples since the last check standard are compensated for the observed drift, or re-analysed. Drift is deemed significant at twice the quoted precision of the measurements.

10. CALCULATION AND REPORTING RESULTS

The instrument software automatically calculates the concentration of the elements present in the aqueous sample extract (C_{sample}), by comparison to the relevant calibration data.

The software uses an equation of the following type:

 $C_{sample} = C_{calib} \times \frac{R_{sample}}{R_{calib}} \times \frac{R_{IS in calib}}{R_{IS in sample}} \times F$

C_{sample} = concentration of element in the aqueous extract (ng/mL)	
C_{calib} = concentration of element in the prepared calibration standard (ng/mL)	<i>R6</i>
$R_{sample} = instrument response for element in sample$	A6
$R_{calib} = instrument response for element in calibration standard$	A6
$R_{IS in calib}$ = instrument response for internal standard (Rh) in calibration standard	A6
R _{IS in sample} = instrument response for internal standard (Rh) in sample	A6
F = dilution factor, if appropriate (final volume, mL/aliquot volume, mL)	A5

The software also automatically corrects for isobaric interferences. However, all concentrations reported on each isotope should be checked for polyatomic interferences or residual isobaric interferences. Care should always be exercised when interpreting results.

11. BIAS AND PRECISION

Short-term precision is typically 2% relative.

Accuracy is checked using either certified reference materials or the method of standard additions, as described in the users manual.

Certified plasma emission standards (5.1), from a source different to that used to prepare the calibration standards (8.3) may be suitable as CRMs for the purposes of checking bias.

7.3 SOP:FDS/2: THE DETERMINATION OF DIMETRIDAZOLE, IN ANIMAL FEEDING STUFFS BY HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

1. SCOPE

This method specifies a procedure for the determination of dimetridazole in animal feeds. The lower limit of determination is 1 mg/kg.

2. PRINICIPLE

The analyte is extracted from the sample with dichloromethane. The extract is cleaned up on a silica cartridge and the analyte quantified using reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography, using ultra-violet (UV) absorbance detection (320 nm).

3. **REAGENTS**

NB. Unless otherwise specified all reagents must be of analytical reagent quality or better. The water must be glass distilled or of at least equivalent purity.

3.1	Aceton	itrile, HPLC grade	A2
	3.2	Dichloromethane	A2
	3.3	Methanol	A2
3.4	Ammo	nium acetate	A2
3.5	Acetic	acid, glacial	A2
	3.6	Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate	A2
3.7	Di-pota	assium hydrogen orthophosphate, trihydrate	A2
	3.8	Dimetridazole, >99% purity available from Sigma Chemicals	<i>R6</i>
	3.9	Ammonium acetate buffer	
	Dissolv <mark>4.5</mark> witl	$\frac{3.2 \text{ g}}{1000 \text{ g}}$ of ammonium acetate (3.4) in $\frac{900 \text{ mL}}{1000 \text{ mL}}$ of water. Adjust the pH to $\frac{4.4 \text{ to}}{4.4 \text{ to}}$ h glacial acetic acid (3.5) and make up to $\frac{1 \text{ litre}}{1 \text{ litre}}$ with water.	A4, G4, A3, A5
	3.10 solution	Di-potassium hydrogen orthophosphate, trihydrate, 0.2 mol.L^{-1} aqueous n.	
	Dissolv to <mark>250 :</mark>	$\frac{11.41 \text{ g}}{\text{mL}}$ of di-potassium hydrogen orthophosphate (3.7) in water and make up mL in a graduated flask.	A4, A5
	3.11	Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate, 0.009 mol.L ⁻¹ aqueous solution.	
	Dissolv to <mark>1 litr</mark>	The 1.2248 g of potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (3.6) in water and make up in a graduated flask.	A4, A5
3.12	Phosph	ate Buffer	
	Mix equ with 0.0 solution	al quantities of 0.2 mol.L ⁻¹ di-potassium hydrogen orthophosphate solution (3.10) 009 mol.L ⁻¹ potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (3.11). This will give a buffer with a pH of between $\frac{8.0 \text{ and } 8.5}{6.0 \text{ and } 8.5}$ – this should be confirmed using a pH meter.	A3

3.13	Mobile Phase		
800 mL	of ammonium acetate buffer (3.9)	G 4	
200 mL	of acetonitrile (3.1)	G 4	
3.14	Dimetridazole stock standard solution (1 mg/mL)	<i>R6</i>	
Dissolv Make u up to 1	e 100.0 mg of dimetridazole (3.8) in methanol (3.3) in a 100 mL graduated flask. p to the mark with methanol and mix thoroughly. This solution can be kept for month if stored in an amber container and refrigerated (at $\leq 4^{\circ}$ C).	A4, A12	A5
3.15	Intermediate Standard (<mark>50 μg/mL</mark>)	<i>R6</i>	
Pipette up to th for up t	2.5 mL of the stock standard solution (3.14) into a 50 mL graduated flask. Make he mark with mobile phase (3.13) and mix thoroughly. This solution can be kept o 1 week if stored in an amber container and refrigerated (at $\leq 4^{\circ}$ C).	A5, A12	A5
Calibrat	tion Standards		
Prepare interme fresh da	standards at $0.25\mu g/mL$, $0.5\mu g/mL$, $1\mu g/mL$, and $2\mu g/mL$ by diluting the diate standard solution (3.15) with mobile phase (3.13). These must be prepared inly.	R6,	A5
3.17	Spiking Standard Solution (200µg/mL of the standard)	R 7	
Pipette mark w	5 mL of the stock standard (3.14) into a 25 mL graduated flask, make up to the ith methanol and mix thoroughly. This solution can be kept for up to 1 week if	A5,	A5
stored I	in an amost container and remigrated (at $\geq 4 \circ$).	A14	

4. QUALITY CONTROL MATERIAL

А	previously tested	blank	feed (10	<mark>g</mark>) is	spiked	with	200	μL	of the	spiking	standard	<i>R8</i> ,	A4,
(3.	17), to give a QC	materia	al with a	limet	ridazole	conce	entra	tion	of 4 mg	g/kg.		A5	

5. APPARATUS

5.3

5.4

3.16

In addition to normal laboratory apparatus, the following is required:

5.1	Sep-Pak silica cartridges, Whatman Part No. WAT051900	G 6
5.2	HPLC system consisting of the following items:	
Pump	capable of pumping at 1 mL/min	A7
Inject	ion system capable of injecting 100 μL	A7
<mark>UV d</mark>	etector capable of operating at 320 nm	A7
Data	acquisition system	
		l
HPLO	C Column	
Pheno	pmenex phenyl hexyl column, particle size 5 μm, 250 mm x 4.6 mm or equivalent	A3
shoul	d be used.	
		l
рн м	leter	
The p	H meter should be calibrated with appropriate buffer solutions and used according manufacturers instructions	<i>R6</i>
to the		

6. **PROCEDURE**

NB. During extraction and clean up stages care must be taken to ensure that the dichloromethane is not lost by evaporation.

6.1 <u>Preparation of QC Materials</u>

Weigh two 10 ± 0.1 g portions of a previously tested blank feed into two separate conical flasks. Record the weight to 0.001 g. Add 200 µL of the spiking standard (3.17) to one of these test portions. The second portion of blank feed is analysed as a blank. Analyse these 2 samples along with the other samples comprising the batch from point 6.2.2.

6.2 <u>Extraction and Clean up</u>

- 6.2.1 Sample preparation: all samples must be ground to pass a $\frac{0.5 \text{ mm sieve}}{0.5 \text{ mm sieve}}$ and mixed **A8** thoroughly prior to analysis.
- 6.2.2Weigh between 9.9 g and 10.1 g of the sample into a conical flask and record the weight
to 0.001 g. Add 15 mL of phosphate buffer (3.12) using a measuring cylinder and allow
to soak for about 10 minutes.A4, A4, G4, G4, G4, G2
- 6.2.3 Using a pipette add 50 mL of dichloromethane (3.2) to the flask. Stopper tightly and shake the contents of the flask vigorously by hand to ensure none of the sample is stuck to the bottom of the flask and that there are no large lumps present. Follow this by shaking on a shaker for about 15 minutes. If there is no evidence of an aqueous phase, filter the extract through a filter funnel containing a little glass wool and collect approximately 30 mL of the extract.
- 6.2.4 If the sample has separated into 2 layers, filter the extract through a filter funnel containing a little glass wool and collect the extract in a separating funnel. Allow the layers to separate and collect the lower dichloromethane layer.
- 6.2.5 Condition a silica cartridge (5.1) by passing 5 mL of dichloromethane (3.2) through it. Then using a pipette add 10 mL of the dichloromethane sample extract to the cartridge. When the meniscus has reached the surface of the packing do not let the cartridge go dry wash the cartridge with a further 2 mL of dichloromethane (3.2) and then dry the cartridge with a gentle stream of air.
- 6.2.6 When the cartridge is completely free of dichloromethane elute the compounds from the cartridge with 8 mL of mobile phase (3.13). Collect the eluate in a 10 mL graduated flask and make up to the mark with mobile phase (3.13). This solution is now ready for examination by HPLC as described in section 7.

7. HPLC DETERMINATION

7.1 <u>System suitability for the screening test</u>

Allow the HPLC system to equilibrate by running the mobile phase for at least $\frac{30}{100 \text{ µL}}$ minutes before any injections are made. Inject 100 µL of the $\frac{2}{2} \text{ µg/mL}$ calibration standard. Ensure that the retention time for dimetridazole is not less than 10 minutes. Repeat the injections a further two times. The peak heights obtained from the data acquisition system should agree to within $\pm 5\%$ of the mean value. N3

7.2 <u>Calibration</u>

Calibrate the HPLC system using the calibration standards prepared in 3.16. Construct a calibration curve by plotting the mean peak height values of all calibration standards versus the corresponding concentration value.

Using a suitable spreadsheet package construct a linear regression curve and determine

R6

both the slope (m) and intercept (c) of the curve.

7.3 <u>Sample Analysis</u>

When satisfactory repeatability has been obtained from repeated injections of the calibration standard, injections of the QC solutions from 6.1 and sample solutions from 6.2 can be made. All sample solutions are injected in duplicate. An injection of a standard is made after every fourth sample such that the full range of standards (3.16) are incorporated into the sample extract sequence. When necessary a measured aliquot of the sample extract solution should be diluted to a measured volume using the mobile phase (3.13), to ensure that the response does not exceed the response of the top calibration standard solution. After injection of all the sample solutions, two injections of each of the calibration standards should be made.

8. CALCULATION OF RESULTS

The concentration (x) of the drug in the extract solution can be calculated from the equation: using the expression:

$X (\mu g/ml) = \frac{(y-c)}{m}$	
where:	
y = observed mean sample peak height	<i>A6</i>
m = slope of calibration linear regression curve	R10
c = intercept of calibration linear regression curve	R10
The concentration of dimetridazole can then be calculated from:	
$G = \frac{X \times V \times F}{M}$	
where:	
G = dimetridazole content in sample (mg/kg)	
X = concentration of dimetridazole in injected sample solution (µg/mL)	R10
V = total volume of the dichloromethane extract (50 mL)	A5
$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{dilution \ factor, \ if \ applicable}$	A5
M = mass of sample taken for analysis (g)	<i>A4</i>

7.4 SOP: ENV/1: EXTRACTION OF METALS FROM SOIL BY AQUA-REGIA

1. SCOPE

The method is applicable to soils containing not more than 33% (m/m) of organic matter

2. PRINCIPLE

The sample is extracted using a hydrochloric acid/nitric acid mixture under specified conditions (BS7755. ISO11466:1995). The extraction procedure does not necessarily extract the total metal content.

3. **REAGENTS**

All reagents should be of at least analytical grade. They are obtainable from major chemical suppliers, unless otherwise stated.

3.1	Water (demineralised)	A2
3.2	Nitric acid (69% v/v SG 1.42)	A2
3.3	Hydrochloric acid (37% v/v SG 1.18)	A2
3.4	$0.5 \text{ mol}.L^{-1}$ nitric acid:	A2
Using flask c	a measuring cylinder add 32 ± 0.5 mL of nitric acid (3.2) to a 1 litre volumetric containing about 900 mL of water (3.1) and swirl to mix. Allow to cool make to	G4, G4

Using a measuring cylinder and 52 ± 0.5 mL of nitric acid (3.2) to a 1 litre volumetric flask containing about 900 mL of water (3.1) and swirl to mix. Allow to cool, make to the mark with water (3.1), stopper and mix. Transfer to a polythene bottle and store at room temperature. G1

4. QUALITY CONTROL MATERIALS

A previously analysed test sample may be used to verify that within-laboratory	<i>R8</i>
repeatability is acceptable.	
A certified reference material may be used from time-to-time to check for bias, e.g	
BCR143R Sewage sludge-amended soil	<i>R9</i>
LGC6135 Brick-works soil	<i>R9</i>
NIST SRM2710 Montana soil	R9

5. APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory glassware and equipment, the following is required		
5.1	Reflux condenser, assembled length 340 mm, with ground glass joints	G6
5.2	150 μm sieve	A8

6. SAMPLE PREPARATION

The soil must be air-dried and ground to pass a	150 μm sieve	(5.2) and then mixed.	A8

A5

7. SAMPLE EXTRACTION PROCEDURE

Note: With each batch of 10 soil samples, a reagent blank and a soil QC sample (4) must be run.

	7.1 Weigh 3.00 ± 0.01 g of the prepared sample into a 250mL reaction vessel.	A4, G4
	7.2 Moisten the soil with 2.0 ± 0.1 mL of water (3.1)	A5
7.3	Using a measuring cylinder add 21.0 ± 0.5 mL of hydrochloric acid (3.3), followe 7.0 ± 0.5 mL of nitric acid (3.2), dropwise if necessary to reduce foaming.	d by G4 G4
	7.4 Allow to stand overnight at room temperature	G1
7.5	Add 15.0 ± 0.5 mL of 0.5 mol.L ⁻¹ nitric acid (3.4) to the absorption vessel and cor the absorption vessel to the reaction vessel, via the reflux condenser (5.1).	nnect G4
7.6	Heat the soil/acid mixture under reflux for $\frac{2}{2}$ hours ± 5 minutes, ensuring that condensation zone is lower than $\frac{1/3}{3}$ of the height of the condenser.	the $G2$ G7
7.7	Add the contents of the absorption vessel to the reaction vessel, via the condense rinsing the absorption vessel with $2 \times 10.0 \pm 0.5$ mL portions of 0.5 mol.L ⁻¹ nitric (3.4).	r, by acid G4
	7.8 Filter the contents of the reaction vessel into a Buchner flask.	
7.9	Rinse the reaction vessel with $20.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ mL} 0.5 \text{ mol.L}^{-1}$ nitric acid (3.4) and filte rinsing through the same filter paper into the conical flask.	r the G4
	7.10 Quantitatively transfer the combined filtrate and rinsings to a 100 mL volum flask	etric A5
7.11	Rinse the Buchner flask with 10.0 ± 0.5 mL of 0.5 mol.L ⁻¹ nitric acid (3.4) and addring to the volumetric flask.	1 the G4
7.12	Make up to the mark with $0.5 \text{ mol.}L^{-1}$ nitric acid (3.4). Stopper the flask and mix.	I

8. ANALYSIS OF THE EXTRACT

Determine the metal concentration in the prepared extract (7.12) using inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (SOP: INS/1)

9. CALCULATION OF RESULT

The concentration (C, in mg/kg) of the element in the soil is calculated using the following equation:

$$C (mg/kg) = \frac{C_{ext}}{W} \times \frac{V}{1000}$$

C_{ext} = element concentration (µg/L) in the extract, determined by ICP-MS	R10
V = volume of extract (mL)	A5
W = mass of sample (g) taken for extraction	A4

The result obtained for the QC sample is plotted on the QC chart. The result should lie within the control limits. If it does not the matter should be investigated and, if necessary, the analyses on the test samples repeated.

7.5 SOP: ENV/3: DETERMINATION OF WATER-SOLUBLE SULPHATE IN SOIL

1. SCOPE

The method is applicable to the determination of the concentration of water-soluble sulphate in soils and soil-like matrices, at concentrations in the range 50 to 25000 mg/kg.

2. PRINCIPLE

1 part by mass of the soil sample is shaken with 5 parts by volume of water for 16 hours. The sulphate content of the water extract after filtration is determined gravimetrically, following precipitation as barium sulphate.

3. APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory equipment the following is required:

3.1	Mechanical shaker: capable of keeping 10 g of soil sample in continuous suspension in 50 mL of water	
3.2	Filter papers – ashless, medium grade porosity, 8 μm	G 6
3.3	Filter papers – fine grade porosity, 3 μm	G 6
3.4	Sieve, 2 mm	A8

4. REAGENTS

The following reagents are required: Demineralised water <mark>4.1</mark> A24.2 Hydrochloric acid (35.5 – 37.5% v/v; SG 1.18), analytical grade A24.3 Barium chloride dihydrate, analytical grade A24.4 Sodium hydroxide pellets, analytical grade A2 4.5 Silver nitrate, analytical grade A2Methyl orange A24.6

4.7	Hydrochloric acid, approx. 6 mol. L^{-1} : Carefully mix 500 mL ± 10 mL of conc. hydrochloric acid (4.2) with water (4.1) and dilute to 1 litre in a measuring cylinder. Transfer the solution to a polythene bottle.	G7, G4 G4
4.8	Barium chloride solution, approx 100 g/litre: Dissolve 100 ± 1 g of barium chloride hydrate (4.3) in about 800 mL of water (4.1). Warm the solution on a hot plate to aid dissolution. Cool to room temperature, dilute to 1 litre in a measuring cylinder and transfer to a glass or polythene bottle.	G7, A4 G4 G1, G4
4.9	Sodium hydroxide solution, approx. 5 mol.L ¹ : Dissolve 20 g of sodium hydroxide pellets (4.4) in 100 mL of water (4.1), with stirring to aid dissolution. Transfer to a glass or polythene bottle.	G7, A10+A4 G4
4.10	Methyl orange indicator solution, approx. 1 g/litre: Dissolve 100 mg of methyl orange (4.5) in about 50 mL of water (4.1). Warm the solution on a hot plate to aid dissolution. Cool to room temperature and dilute to 100 mL in a measuring cylinder. Transfer to a	G7, A10 + A4 G4, G4,

	glass or polythene bottle.	G1
4.11	Silver nitrate solution, approx. 0.1 mol.L ⁻¹ : Dissolve 17 ± 1 g of silver nitrate (4.5) in about 800 mL of water (4.1) and dilute to 1 litre in a measuring cylinder with water (4.1). Transfer the solution to an amber glass bottle and store in the dark.	G7, A4 G4, G4
5.	QUALITY CONTROL MATERIALS	
	Either of the following may be used as a quality control material:	
5.1	A previously analysed soil sample	R8
5.2	A CRM may be used (e.g. LGC6144, Contaminated Soil).	R9
6.	PROCEDURE	
6.1	Sample Preparation	
6.1.1	The soil sample must be air-dried (according to SOP ENV/1A), ground to pass a 2mm sieve (3.4) and mixed.	
6.1.2	A quality control material (5) must be included with each batch of samples.	
6.2	Extraction of Samples	
6.2.1	Extractions should be carried out at a temperature in the range 20 °C to 25 °C.	A12
6.2.2	A reagent blank must be included with each batch of samples.	
6.2.3	Transfer 10 ± 0.1 g of the prepared sample to an extraction bottle.	<i>A4</i>
6.2.4	Add 50 ± 0.5 mL of water (4.1) to the extraction bottle and stopper tightly.	G 4
6.2.5	Place the extraction bottle on the mechanical shaker (3.1) and agitate for 16 hours.	G2
6.2.6	Centrifuge the soil suspension and filter the supernate under vacuum through a suitable filter paper (3.2) into a Buchner flask.	
6.2.7	Measure the volume of the filtrate (V_E) and retain the filtrate for determination of the sulphate content.	A5
6.3	Determination of Sulphate in the Extract	
6.3.1	Accurately transfer a measured volume (V_A) of the extract, using a pipette, to a 250mL beaker	A5, N1
6.3.2	The volume (V_A) of the extract taken for analysis shall be between 10mL and 50mL and shall not contain more than 50 mg of sulphate ions. A preliminary analysis may be required to establish the appropriate volume required.	A5
6.3.3	Add 2 drops of methyl orange indicator (4.10) to the solution and neutralise (pink \leftrightarrow orange-yellow) the test portion with dilute hydrochloric acid (4.7) or sodium hydroxide (4.9), according to the initial pH.	G 7
6.3.4	Add 2 ± 0.2 mL dilute hydrochloric acid (4.7) and, if necessary, add water to bring the total volume to 200 ± 20 mL.	G4 G4
6.3.5	Boil the solution on a hot plate for at least 5 minutes.	<i>G2</i>
6.3.6	If the solution is clear after boiling proceed to step 6.3.8	
6.3.7	If insoluble matter is present, filter the hot mixture through a fine porosity filter paper	G 6

	(3.3) and wash the paper with a small quantity of hot water (4.1) , combining the washings with the filtrate.	
6.3.8	Transfer the solution quantitatively to a 500 mL beaker and boil the solution on a hot plate; slowly add, using a pipette, $10 \pm 5L$ of hot (about 80°C) barium chloride solution (4.8)	N1 G4, G1
6.3.9	Heat the solution for at least 1 hour and then allow to cool and stand overnight.	G2, G2
6.3.10	Filter the mixture through an ashless filter paper (3.2), ensuring that the precipitate is transferred quantitatively to the filter paper.	G 6
6.3.11	Wash the precipitate several times with hot water (4.1) until the washings are free from chloride, as indicated by the absence of turbidity when a drop is tested with the solution of silver nitrate (4.11) .	
6.3.12	Transfer the filter paper and precipitate to a previously ignited and weighed (m_1) porcelain or silica crucible.	<i>A4</i>
6.3.13	Place the crucible in an electric muffle furnace at room temperature and then raise the temperature gradually to red heat (800°C).	A12+A7
6.3.14	Hold the crucible at red heat for 15 minutes.	G2
6.3.15	Transfer the crucible and contents to a desiccator and allow to cool to room temperature. Weigh the crucible and contents (m_2)	G1 A4

7. CALCULATION OF RESULTS

The sulphate concentration, C, in the original test sample is calculated using the equation:

$$C (mg/kg) = \frac{(m_2 - m_1) - m_0}{m_s} \times \frac{V_E}{V_A} \times \frac{MW_{SO4}}{MW_{BaSO4}} \times 10^6$$

$$m_2 = mass of crucible + precipitate, g$$

$$m_1 = mass of crucible, g$$

$$m_0 = mass of residue in blank crucible, g$$

$$m_0 = mass of residue in blank crucible, g$$

$$M4$$

$$m_s = mass of sample taken for extraction, g$$

$$V_A = volume of extract taken for gravimetric analysis, mL$$

$$V_E = total volume of extract, mL$$

$$MW_{SO4} = molecular mass of sulphate (SO4)$$

$$R3$$

Check that the results obtained on the QC material are within the set limits.

7.6 SOP: ENV/2: DETERMINATION OF COMMON ANIONS IN WATERS BY ION CHROMATOGRAPHY

1. SCOPE

The method is applicable to the determination of fluoride, chloride, phosphate, nitrate and sulphate in potable water, swimming pool water and effluents.

2. PRINCIPLE

The sample is injected onto an ion-exchange chromatography column and eluted with an aqueous carbonate/bicarbonate mobile phase. The anions are detected and quantified using a conductivity detector.

3. APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory glassware and equipment, the following is required

3.1 Dionex DX-500 Ion Chromatograph

4. **REAGENTS**

4.1	Ultra-pure water (Elgastat UHP water), with a conductivity <0.1 µS/cm	<i>A2</i>	
4.2	Sodium carbonate	<i>A2</i>	
4.3	Sodium bicarbonate	<i>A2</i>	
4.4	Mobile phase: 1.8 mM sodium carbonate-1.7 mM sodium bicarbonate	l	
	Weigh 0.960 ± 0.005 g sodium carbonate (4.2) and 0.710 ± 0.005 g sodium bicarbonate (4.3) into a 5 litre volumetric flask. Add water (4.1) to dissolve the salts, make to the mark with water (4.1) and mix.	A4, A5	<i>A4</i>

5. CALIBRATION STANDARDS

5.1	Pure Substances used to Prepare Calibration Standards	
5.1.1	Sodium fluoride	R6
5.1.2	Sodium chloride	R6
5.1.3	Sodium nitrate	<i>R6</i>
5.1.4	Potassium dihydrogen phosphate	<i>R6</i>
5.1.5	Potassium sulphate	<i>R6</i>

5.2 Stock Standard Solutions

The following stock standard solutions are prepared by dissolving the stated quantity of each particular compound in water (4.1). The solution is transferred to a 1 litre volumetric flask, which is then made to the mark with water (4.1) and inverted several times to mix the contents.

LGC/VAM/Traceability Guide

Anion	Concentration of anion in stock solution (mg/litre)	Compound to be used to prepare stock solution	Quantity (g) of compound to be dissolved in 1 litre of water	A5
Fluoride	1000	NaF	2.210 ± 0.005	R6, A4
Chloride	3000	NaCl	4.945 ± 0.005	R6, A4
Nitrate	2000	NaNO ₃	2.742 ± 0.005	R6, A4
Phosphate	1000	KH ₂ PO ₄	1.433 ± 0.005	R6, A4
Sulphate	3000	K_2SO_4	5.442 ± 0.005	R6, A4

5.3 Mixed Stock Solution

Using glass pipettes, transfer the following aliquots of each of the single stock solutions into a 1 litre volumetric flask, make up to the mark with water (4.1) and mix. A5

Stock Solution	Aliquot (mL)	Concentration in the mixed stock solution (mg/litre)	
Fluoride	<mark>4</mark>	4	A5
Chloride	20	60	A5
Nitrate	25	50	A5
Phosphate	5	5	A5
Sulphate	25	75	A5

5.4 Calibration Solutions

Using glass pipettes, dilute 60 mL, 20 mL and 5 mL aliquots of the mixed stock solution (5.3) to 100 mL, 100 mL and 200 mL respectively, in volumetric flasks. (5.3) to 100 mL and 200 mL respectively.

The three diluted mixed solutions and the undiluted mixed solution (5.3) provide four calibration solutions, as tabulated below:

	Calib Std 4	Calib Std 3	Calib Std 2	Calib Std 1
	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Fluoride	4	2.4	0.8	0.1
Chloride	60	36	12	1.5
Nitrate	50	30	10	1.25
Phosphate	5	3	1	0.125
Sulphate	75	45	15	1.875

6. QUALITY CONTROL MATERIALS

Two types of QC material may be used.

6.1	A solution is prepared in-house, by diluting 25 mL of an independently prepared mixed stock solution (5.2) to 100 mL.	A5, A5	R7
	A certified reference material, e.g. BCR-616 Ground water	R9	

7. ION CHROMATOGRAPHY

Set up the equipment according to the manufacturer's instructions. Ensure there is sufficient eluent in the reservoir and set the pump at the appropriate flow-rate. Once the system has stabilised (after about 10 minutes), check that the background conductivity of the eluent is $\leq 20 \,\mu$ S/cm. If it is not, replace the eluent.

Load portions (5 mL) of the samples, calibration standards and QC material into polyvials, up to the mark. Place the polyvials in the autosampler. Calibration standards are placed at the start of a run and at about every 20 sample vials. In each run there should be at least one QC material and one replicate test sample. G4

Set up a file for the acquisition of data from the chromatography run.

To verify the system is operating correctly, firstly run a mixed calibration standard. The peak areas and retention times should be comparable to those obtained in previous runs. The retention time of the sulphate peak should be within ± 1 minute of that observed in previous runs.

N3

G2

A9

Provided the system is working satisfactorily, run the complete set of polyvials.

If the area of a sample peak exceeds that of the top calibration standard, dilute a measured aliquot to a known volume to bring the sample peak area within the calibration range and re-inject the diluted sample.

8. CALCULATION OF RESULTS

Using the data station, process the raw peak data to obtain the calculated anion concentrations in the samples and the QC material.

The data station processes the data using an equation of the following type:

$$C_{\text{sample}} = C_{\text{calibstd}} \times \frac{A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{calibstd}}} \times F$$

where:

C_{sample} = concentration of anion in sample, mg/L	
$C_{calibstd}$ = concentration of anion in calibration standard, mg/L	<i>R6</i>
A _{sample} = peak area of anion in sample	A6
$A_{calibstd}$ = peak area of anion in calibration standard	A6
F = dilution factor, if appropriate (final volume/aliquot volume)	A5

The result for the QC material should lie within the set limits for the particular QC material concerned.

7.7 SOP: CLIN/2: ANALYSIS OF TOTAL SODIUM FROM SERUM BY ATOMIC EMMISSION SPECTROMETRY

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Purpose and scope

To enable the analysis of total sodium from serum by atomic emission spectrometry for the generation of reference targeted results. Reference values produced will conform with the acceptable analytical criteria of a Reference Method. The method is applicable to serum sodium levels in the range 100 to 180 mMol/L.

1.2. Responsibility

Procedures will only be undertaken by appropriately trained personnel. The operator is responsible for preparing the samples, standards and QC materials in the prescribed manner, and in completing and/or collating the required documentation correctly. The Reference Laboratory Manager will be responsible for final authorisation of Reference values.

2. APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory apparatus, the following is required:

2.1	Varian	FS220	Atomic	Absorption	Spectrometer,	with	Varian	SPS-5
Autosa	mpler							

2.2	Sodium Lamp (wavelength 589 nm)	A11
2.3	Hamilton Microlab 500 Diluter	<i>A3</i>
2.4	Jun-Air Compressor	I
2.5	Rotamixer	
2.6	Pipettes/syringes	A2
2.7	Volumetric glassware	A2
2.8	Sarstedt 13 mL polypropylene tubes	N1
2.9	25 mL Universal containers	N1
2.10	Elgastat UHQ Water Purification Machine	A3

3. REAGENTS

3.1	Triton X-100 (BDH Cat. No. 306326P)	<i>A2</i>
3.2	Deionised water from an Elgastat UHQ Water Purification Machine, $>17.8 \text{ M}\Omega$	A2
3.3	Internal Quality Control Solutions	R5
3.4	Cesium chloride 99.999% (ICN Biochemicals Cat. No. 150589)	A2
3.5	Aqueous Cesium Chloride Diluent	
Dissol 100 (1	ve 12.7 g of cesium chloride (3.4) in 5 L of water (3.2) and add 5 mL of Triton X-0%) (3.1)	A4+A10, G4, G4
CALI	BRATION STANDARDS	
4.1	Sodium chloride 99.999% (Sigma-Aldrich Cat. No. 20443-9)	<i>R6</i>

4.

5. CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIALS

1 Certified Reference Material NIST 909b

R7+R9

6. **PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION**

All stock standards and control material must be prepared according to the relevant procedure prior to analysis.

Serum test samples are accurately diluted to an intermediate concentration range using the Hamilton Microlab 500 and then again accurately diluted to the optimal concentration range using the Hamilton Microlab 500.

The aqueous diluent contains cesium chloride, which acts as an ionic suppresser. Diluted samples are then quantitatively analysed by atomic absorption spectrometry.

Three separate aliquots of each test sample are analysed in triplet.

The mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation are reported for tests and control material. Control material is run immediately following each batch of samples.

N.B. The major source of error for sodium analysis comes from operator contamination – wear gloves when handling all material used for this procedure, including during labelling of tubes.

7. **PREPARATION OF SOLUTIONS**

That three aliquots of each test sample. Also allow one set of controls and one set of standard solutions to reach room temperature. G1

All samples, controls and standards **must** be allowed to reach room temperature prior to dilution.

Place samples and controls on a roller bed or spiramix for at least 30 minutes prior to dilution to ensure complete mixing. Standard stock solutions must be vortex mixed/placed on spiramix prior to diluting.

Standards include an S_0 (sample diluent only) and an additional S_4 required for instrument optimisation prior to sample analysis (see 7.1).

Label two sets of polypropylene tubes. Set one to be used for the intermediate dilution of each thawed test, control and standard, set two sufficient for the analysis of each test in triplet and controls. Label a set of universal containers for the standards.

7.1. Preparation of Stock Calibration Standards

Standard 0 (sample diluent)

Standard 1 (100.0 mMol/L)

Weigh (to 4 decimal places)0.5 g of sodium chloride (4.1) into a 100 mL
volumetric flask and make up to the mark with de-ionised water (3.2).A4+A10
A5Standard 2 (120.0 mMol/L)

Weigh (to 4 decimal places) 0.7g of sodium chloride (4.1) into a 100 mL A4+A10 volumetric flask and make up to the mark with de-ionised water (3.2). A5

Standard 3 (140.0 mMol/L)

Weigh (to 4 decimal places) 0.8 g of sodium chloride (4.1) into a 100 mL A4+A10 volumetric flask and make up to the mark with de-ionised water (3.2). A5

All

Standard 4 (180.0 mMol/L)

Weigh (to 4 decimal places) 1.0 g of sodium chloride (4.1) into a 100 mL A4+A10 volumetric flask and make up to the mark with de-ionised water (3.2).

7.2. Dilution of Solutions (samples, controls and standards)

Switch on the Hamilton Microlab 500 Diluter, and set up for 1 in 45 dilution (i.e. right syringe $-220 \,\mu\text{L}$ sample, left syringe $-9780 \,\mu\text{L}$ diluent). Ensure that the 10mL syringe is installed.

Place the aqueous cesium chloride diluent (3.4) online, and prime the diluter system to expel any air bubbles.

Dilute samples, controls and standards into the respective tubes, vortex and cap ready for the final dilution.

Dilute each sample, control solution and standard solution a further 1/45 into respective labelled tubes using the same method, vortex and cap ready for analysis. Final dilution 1/2025.

Note: volumes and concentrations of standards and dilution rates of samples may change at the discretion of the Laboratory Manager.

8. SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Samples are analysed on the Varian FS220 AA Spectrometer according to the SOP Atomic Absorption Spectrometry Using the Varian FS 220 Spectrometer and the Varian SPS-5 Autosampler.

The burner, probe wash bath and nebuliser (disassemble the nebuliser) must be clean prior to each analytical run. **The nebuliser must be cleaned** where a change in diluent type takes place.

Place each component in an ultra-sonic bath for at least 30 minutes at 45 °C in a 2% (approx.) decon solution. DO NOT place the magnetic float into the ultra-sonic bath, as this will affect the magnetic properties. G7

Rinse burner, probe wash bath and nebuliser in purified water (3.2) and re-install onto the FS 220. Clean the inlet of the nebuliser with a fine wire. Fill the probe wash bath with purified water containing 1% Triton X-100 (3.1).

Place the lamp (2.2) into the appropriate position and align the lamp to give the maximum signal; this lamp is used for alignment of the burner head only. The instrument must be fully optimised prior to analysis, in particular, burner height (2.75 mm – height may be adjusted away from this if deemed necessary by the Laboratory Manager) and alignment and nebuliser uptake.

Prior to sample analysis, run a set of standards and compare absorbance levels with previous runs. If the absorbance level for each standard is considerably lower than previous analyses and the length of time for data acquisition is slow (set at a maximum of 10 seconds), consider re-optimising the nebuliser uptake rate.

At the start of the run, include 14 blank samples (water only) to enable the temperature of the burner to reach its optimum before sample analysis commences. Standard curves are run after each batch of 10 samples with analytical data read from the previous curve.

Instrument parameters are given below:

Sodium

A7

Instrument Mode	Flame Emission	
Sampling Mode	Autonormal	
Flame Type	Air/acetylene	
Air Flow	14.4 L/min	A7
Acetylene Flow	<mark>2.20 L/min</mark>	A7
Calibration Mode	Concentration	
Measurement Mode	PROMT	
Measurement Time	10.0 s	<i>G2</i>
Read Delay	5.0 s	G2
Minimum Reading	Disabled	•
Smoothing	5 point	
Wavelength	<mark>589 nm</mark>	A11
Slit Width	<mark>1.0 nm</mark>	A7
Lamp Current	N/A	•
Lamp Position	N/A	
Background Correction	Off	
Concentration Dec. Places	4	
Burner Height	<mark>2.75 mm</mark>	A7
Probe Height	<mark>0 mm</mark>	A7
Rinse Rate	$\overline{1}$	•
Rinse Time	5 s	<i>G2</i>

9. DATA ANALYSIS

The FS 220 plots its own calibration curve as described in the FS 220 operating procedure. The resulting data are transferred to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and the mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation are calculated for the test and control samples.

If the control values fall within the following acceptable limits the data can be reported. Results are reported to 3 decimal places, units are mMol/L.

9.1. Assay acceptance limits

The long-term precision is typically 1%.

Bias is checked using NIST SRM909b and should not exceed 0.5%.

*Sodium Certified Value Level-1 (mMol/L) 120.76

*Sodium Certified Value Level-2 (mMol/L) 141.0

*Check the current SRM target values for this QC preparation. The current certificate can be obtained from the Internet (<u>www.nist.gov/srm</u>).

9.2. Calculation of Results

The concentration of sodium in the original serum sample is calculated from the following equation:

$$[Na]_{serum} = f'(I) \times D$$

where:

[Na] _{serum}	= concentration of sodium in serum	
f' (1)	= polynomial fit to the calibration curve (from instrument software)	R10
D	= dilution factor (2025)	A3

R9+R7

R9+R7

7.8 SOP: FDS/4: DETERMINATION OF ASH IN ORGANIC MATTER AND THE PREPARATION OF AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The method is applicable to foodstuffs and biological materials that can be ashed in a muffle furnace at 475°C to produce a white or grey/white ash. It does not apply to feeding stuffs with high levels of vegetable silica. The method is applicable to a range of elements, but has been validated for iron, copper, manganese and zinc.

2. PRINCIPLE

A representative portion of the sample is ignited under controlled conditions and allowed to incinerate. The residue resulting from ashing, is treated with hydrochloric acid and solutions prepared for subsequent analysis. The elements iron, copper, manganese and zinc are determined, after appropriate dilution by atomic absorption spectrometry.

3. APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory apparatus, the following is required:

3.1	Muffle furnace with temperature regulator and recorder.	A3
3.2	Platinum or quartz crucible.	Ι
3.3	Drying oven set at 105°C ± 2°C.	<i>G6</i>
3.4	Desiccator, containing an effective desiccant.	Ι
3.5	Ash free filter paper.	<i>G6</i>
3.6	Watch glass, petri dish, or similar.	Ι
3.7	Tongs, heat-resistant.	
3.8	Temperature controlled hot plate.	<i>G6</i>
3.9	100 mL, 250 mL and 1000 mL volumetric flasks.	A5
3.10	Pipettes	A5

Glassware must be of resistant borosilicate type and it is recommended to use apparatus, which is reserved exclusively for trace element determination. All glassware and plastic vessels should be cleaned before use by rinsing with 5% nitric acid (4.6), followed by thorough rising with purified water.

3. REAGENTS

Introductory comments

For preparation of the reagents and analytical solutions use water free from the cations to be determined, obtained either by double distilling water in a borosilicate glass or quartz still or by double treatment on iron exchange resin.

The reagents must be of at least analytical grade. Freedom from the element to be determined must be checked in a blank experiment. If necessary, the reagents must be

further purified.

In determining trace elements it is important to be alert to the risks of contamination, particularly, by zinc, copper and iron. For this reason, the equipment used in preparing the samples must be free of these metals.

To reduce the general risk of contamination, work in a dust-free atmosphere with scrupulously clean equipment and carefully washed glassware. The determination of zinc is particularly sensitive to many types of contamination e.g. from glassware, reagents, dust, etc.

4.1	De-ionised water (from Elga Maxima purification unit), $>17.8M\Omega$.	<i>A2</i>
4.2	Hydrochloric acid, concentrated, s.g: 1.18 'Aristar' grade.	A2
4.3	Nitric acid, concentrated, s.g. 1.42 'Aristar' grade.	A2
4.4	Hydrochloric acid (6 mol.L ⁻¹).	G5
4.5	Hydrochloric acid (0.5 mol.L ⁻¹).	G5
Nitric a	cid, 5% v/v (aq) prepared by diluting (4.3) with (4.1) and stored in a plastic vessel.	G5
4.7	Lanthanum oxide (99.999%)	<i>A1</i>
4.8	Lanthanum chloride solution prepared as follows:	
	dissolve 12g of lanthanum oxide (4.7) in 150 mL of water, add 100 mL of 6 N hydrochloric acid (4.4) and make up to one litre with water (4.1) using a volumetric flask.	A4, G4, G4, A5

4. QUALITY CONTROL MATERIALS

A variety of matrix reference materials are available. Normally the material most closely *R8+R9* resembling the material under test will be analysed.

5. SAMPLE PREPARATION

A high degree of sample homogeneity is required to ensure that a truly representative sub-sample can be taken. Samples must be thoroughly homogenised using an appropriate blender or homogeniser. The nature of the sample under analysis will determine which equipment should be used.

6. ASHING METHOD

7.1	Heat quartz or platinum crucible (3.2) for at least one hour in a drying oven. Allow to cool in a desiccator for 30 minutes.	G2, G2
7.2	Weigh the crucible and record the weight (M_0) to 4 decimal places	<i>A4</i>
7.3	Place 5 to 10g of sample in the crucible (3.2). Record the weight (M_1) to 4 decimal places.	A10, A4
7.4	Dry in an oven at 105°C	G1
7.5	Place the crucible into the cold muffle furnace (3.1) . Close the furnace and gradually raise the temperature to 450 to 475° C over about 90 minutes. Ashing must be carried out in a closed furnace without injection of air or oxygen.	A12+A7, G2
7.6	Maintain this temperature for $\frac{4 \text{ to } 16 \text{ hours}}{4 \text{ to } 16 \text{ hours}}$ (e.g. overnight) to remove carbonaceous material and then open the furnace and allow to cool to about $\frac{150^{\circ}\text{C}}{150^{\circ}\text{C}}$. The temperature indicated by the recorder must not exceed $\frac{475^{\circ}\text{C}}{50^{\circ}\text{C}}$.	G2, G1 A7

7.7	If the residue in the crucible appears black (carbon), return it to the furnace and ash again at 450 to 475°C. This ashing, which only requires a few hours (about three to five hours), is complete when the ash appears white or nearly white.	A12+A7, G2
7.8	Using tongs transfer the crucible to a desiccator. Allow to cool to room temperature and weigh (M_2) to 4 decimal places.	G1, A4

8. PREPARATION OF SOLUTION FOR ANALYSIS

- 8.1 Wash the crucible out with a total of about 5 mL of hydrochloric acid (4.2) and add the latter slowly and carefully to a beaker (there may be a vigorous reaction due to CO₂ formation). *G4*
- 8.2 Add hydrochloric acid (4.2) dropwise with agitation until all effervescence has stopped. Evaporate to dryness, occasionally stirring with a glass rod.
- 8.3 Add 15 mL of 6 mol.L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.4) to the residue followed by about 120 mL of water. Stir with the glass rod, which should be left in the beaker, and cover the beaker with a watchglass.
- 8.4 Bring gently to the boil and maintain at boiling point until no more ash can be seen to dissolve.

8.5 Filter on ash-free filter paper and collect the filtrate in a 250 mL volumetric flask.

- 8.6 Wash the beaker and filter with 5 mL of hot 6 mol.L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.4) and twice **G4** with boiling water.
- 8.7 Fill the volumetric flask up to the mark with water (final HCl concentration about 0.5 mol_{L}^{-1})

For the determination of copper, the solution prepared above can normally be used directly. If necessary to bring its concentration within the range of the calibration solutions, an aliquot portion may be pipetted into a 100 mL volumetric flask and made up to the mark with 0.5 mol.L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.5).

For the determination of iron, manganese and zinc, pipette an aliquot portion of the solution prepared above into a 100 mL volumetric flask, add 10 mL of lanthanum chloride solution (4.7) and make up to the mark with 0.5 mol.L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.5).

9. CALCULATION OF RESULTS

9.1. Method of Calculation and Formula

The ash content of the sample, expressed as a percentage by mass is equal to:

$$\frac{M_2 - M_0}{M_1 - M_0} \times 100$$

where:

 M_0 = mass (g) of the empty crucibleA4 M_1 = mass (g) of crucible containing the test sampleA4 M_2 = mass (g) of crucible and residue after ashingA4

9.2. Repeatability

The difference between the results of two determinations carried out in rapid succession by the same analyst should not be greater than 0.1 g of ash per 100 g of sample.

7.9 SOP: INS/2: DETERMINATION OF THE TRACE ELEMENTS IRON, COPPER, MANGANESE AND ZINC IN SOLUTION BY ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY

1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The method is for the determination of the trace elements iron, copper, manganese and zinc in feeding stuffs by atomic absorption spectroscopy. The lower limits of determination in the sample based on the preparation by an ashing technique (SOP FDS/4) are:-

Iron (Fe)	20 mg/kg
Copper (Cu)	10 mg/kg
Manganese (Mn)	20 mg/kg
Zinc (Zn)	20 mg/kg

2 PRINCIPLE

The sample, or the residue resulting from ashing is treated with hydrochloric acid (SOP FDS/4). The elements iron, copper, manganese and zinc are determined, after appropriate dilution, by atomic absorption spectrometry.

3 APPARATUS

In addition to normal laboratory apparatus, the following is required:

3.1	Volumetric flasks	A5
3.2	Atomic absorption spectrophotometer with the required sensitivity and precision in the appropriate range.	<i>A3</i>
3.3	Single-element hollow cathode lamps for: iron, copper, manganese and zinc.	A11
3.4	Polythene bottles, 250 mL.	N1

Glassware must be of resistant borosilicate type and it is recommended to use apparatus, which is reserved exclusively for trace element determination.

4 REAGENTS

Introductory comments

For preparation of the reagents and analytical solutions use water free from the cations to be determined, obtained either by double distilling water in a borosilicate glass or quartz still or by double treatment on iron exchange resin.

The reagents must be of at least analytical grade. Freedom from the element to be determined must be checked in a blank experiment. If necessary, the reagents must be further purified.

In determining trace elements it is important to be alert to the risks of contamination, particularly, by zinc, copper and iron. For this reason, the equipment used in preparing the samples must be free of these metals.

To reduce the general risk of contamination, work in a dust-free atmosphere with scrupulously clean equipment and carefully washed glassware. The determination of zinc is particularly sensitive to many types of contamination e.g. from glassware, reagents, dust, etc.

	In place of the standard solutions described below, appropriate commercial standard solutions may be used.	R6	
4.1	De-ionised water (from Elga Maxima purification unit), >17.8 M Ω	A2	
4.2	Hydrochloric acid (6 mol. L^{-1}).	G5	
4.3	Hydrochloric acid $(0.5 \text{ mol.} \text{L}^{-1})$.	G5	
<mark>4.4</mark>	Nitric acid, concentrated, s.g 1.42 'Aristar' grade.	A2	
4.5	Nitric acid, 5% v/v (aq) prepared by diluting (4.4) with (4.1) and stored in a plastic vessel.	G5	
<mark>4.6</mark>	Hydrogen peroxide (approximately 100 volumes of oxygen (30% by weight)).	A2	
4.7	Acetylene gas.	G 6	
4.8	Compressed air, taken from the piped system within the laboratory.	G 6	
4.9	Lanthanum chloride solution		
	Dissolve 12g of lanthanum oxide in 150 mL of water (4.1), add 100 mL of 6 mol.L ⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.2) and make up to one litre with water (4.1).	A4, G4, A5	A2 G4

5 CALIBRATION STANDARDS

5.1	Standard iron solution (1,000 µg Fe/mL)		
	Dissolve 1 g of iron wire in 200 mL of 6 mol.L ⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.2), add 16 mL of hydrogen peroxide (4.6) and make up to one litre with water (4.1).	A4, G4, A5	R6, G4,
5.2	Standard copper solution (1,000 µg Cu/mL)		
	Dissolve 1 g of copper in powdered form in 25 mL of 6 mol.L ⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.2), add 5 mL of hydrogen peroxide (4.6) and make up to one litre with water (4.1).	A4, G4, A5	R6, G4,
5.3	Standard manganese solution (1,000 µg Mn/mL)		
	Dissolve $\frac{1}{2}$ g of manganese in powdered form in $\frac{25}{100}$ mL of 6 mol.L ⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.2) and make up to one litre with water (4.1).	A4, G4, A	R6, 15
5.4	Standard zinc solution (1,000 µg Zn/mL)		
	Dissolve $\frac{1}{9}$ of zinc in strip or leaf form in $\frac{25}{100}$ mL of 6 mol.L ⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.2) and make up to one litre with water (4.1).	A4, G4, A	R6, 15

6 QUALITY CONTROL MATERIALS

A variety of quality control materials are available.

R8

7 VALIDATION OF INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

The instrument must be set up and its performance validated as described in the manufacturer's user manual.

8 SAMPLE PREPARATION

Solid food samples are prepared for analysis by AA spectroscopy by the method SOP FDS/4 "Determination of ash in organic matter and the preparation of aqueous solutions

for quantitative analysis"

All glassware used in the preparation of the standard solutions must be soaked in dilute nitric acid for at least 12 hours and then rinsed thoroughly with purified water (4.1) prior to use.

8.1 Preparation of calibration solutions

The resulting sample solutions must be free of any suspended particulate matter. If necessary filter the sample solution through an ash free filter paper.

For each of the elements to be determined, prepare from the standard solutions given in points 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 a range of calibration solutions. Each calibration solution to have an HCl concentration of about 0.5 mol.L^{-1} and (in the cases of iron, manganese and zinc) a lanthanum chloride concentration equivalent to 0.1% La (w/v).

The trace element concentrations selected must lie within the range of sensitivity of the spectrophotometer used.

8.2 Preparation of solution for analysis

Solutions for analysis are prepared according to procedure SOP FDS/4.

8.3 Blank experiment

The blank experiment must include all the prescribed steps of the procedure except that the sample material is omitted.

9 INSTRUMENT SET UP

Measure the atomic absorption of the calibration solutions and of the solution to be analysed using an oxidising air-acetylene flame at the following wavelengths.

Fe	248.3 nm	A11
Cu	324.8 nm	A11
Mn	<mark>279.5 nm</mark>	A11
Zn	213.8 nm	A11

- 9.1 Switch on power to the instrument and turn on the fume extraction fan. Ensure that the 100mm multi-slot burner is securely in place and that it is clean.
- 9.2 Select and fit the appropriate hollow cathode lamp (3.3). Adjust the current of the lamp to the appropriate value. Select the required slit width.

	Lamp current mA	Wavelength nm	PM voltage	Slit width
Copper	5	324.7	<mark>530</mark>	0.32
Iron	<mark>10</mark>	248.3	<mark>620</mark>	<mark>0.16</mark>
Manganese	<mark>5</mark>	279.5	<mark>530</mark>	0.32
Zinc	<mark>5</mark>	213.0	<mark>530</mark>	0.32
9.3 Select stabilis	the appropriate ran e before adjusting t	ge control (UV or he wavelength cor	r VIS) and allown trol to the corre	v 15 minutes for the lamp to ect value.
9.4 Turn or	the air and acetyle	ne and ignite. Allo	ow the burner to	stabilise for <mark>3 minutes</mark> .
For the	IL 453 spectrophot	cometer, the optim	al conditions for	r fuel and oxidant are 4.8 and

R6 G7 G7

	4.5 L/minute respectively and the burner height must be 30 mm.	A9
9.5	Aspirate the highest concentration standard of the range and the one that is designated for instrument set-up. The take up rate is 4.6 mL/minute.	A9
9.6	Adjust the instrument conditions, tuning each emission source to give maximum sensitivity to noise ratio, according to the instrument makers instructions.	

10 INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION AND SAMPLE ANALYSIS

10.1	Working standard iron solution (100 µg Fe/mL)	<i>R6</i>
	Dilute the standard solution (5.1) 1+9 with water (4.1) .	A5
10.2	Working standard copper solution (10µg Cu/mL)	R6
	Dilute the standard solution (5.2) $1+9$ with water (4.1) and then dilute the resulting solution $1+9$ with water (4.1).	A5 A5
10.3	Working standard manganese solution (10 μg Mn/mL)	R6
	Dilute the standard solution (5.3) $1+9$ with water (4.1) and then dilute the resulting solution $1+9$ with water (4.1).	A5 A5
10.4	Working standard zinc solution (10 µg Zn/mL)	R6
	Dilute the standard solution (5.4) $1+9$ with water (4.1) and then dilute the resulting solution $1+9$ with water (4.1).	A5 A5
10.5	Mix by inversion each standard solution in the calibration line and aspirate in turn, beginning with the highest concentration standard and working down to lower concentrations. Wait for 10 seconds before recording the absorbance reading.	G2

- 10.6 When all the standard solutions in the range have been run, begin aspirating the sample solutions in the same way. After every 10 sample solutions aspirate the highest concentration standard in the range and check for instrument drift. If the absorbance reading for a sample solution is above the highest concentration standard in the range then dilute the sample with 0.5 mol.L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.3). If the reading for a sample solution is lower than that for the lowest standard in the range, run the sample solution on a lower range calibration line. Aspirate the 0.5 mol.L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (4.3) used for dilution as a blank value. Note that this is in addition to the '0 mg/kg' original sample blank.
- 10.7 When all the sample solutions have been run, repeat the aspiration of the standards and check that the absorbance value of the highest concentration standard in the line is consistent with that obtained at the beginning of the run.

Place aspiration take-up tube in the beaker of glass distilled water.

Carry out each measurement four times.

11 CALCULATION OF RESULTS

For each element and each standard range used, construct a calibration graph of absorbance versus concentration (mg/mL). Carry out a linear regression analysis of the calibration data to obtain the slope (m) and intercept (c).

From the observed absorbance (y) determine the concentration of each element of interest in the extract solution using the expression:

$$X_i(mg/ml) = \frac{(Y_i - Y_0)}{m_i}$$

The concentration of the element in the original material can then be calculated from:

$$G_i = \left(\frac{X_i \times V \times F}{M}\right)$$

where: X_i = concentration of element *i* in solution (mg/mL)

Y_i = measured absorbance for element <i>i</i> in sample	A6
m _i = slope from linear regression analysis	R10
$\frac{Y_0}{Y_0}$ = measured absorbance for blank sample for element I	A6
G_i = concentration of element <i>i</i> in sample (mg/g)	I
	1

V = final volume the ashed sample is made up to (mL) A5

$\mathbf{F} = \text{dilution factor, if applicable}$	A
--	---

M = sample weight (g) taken for digestion (SOP FDS/4). A4

12 REPEATABILITY

The difference between the results of two parallel determinations carried out on the same sample by the same analyst should not exceed:

5 mg/kg, in absolute value, for contents of the trace element concerned not greater than 50 mg/kg;

10% of the higher result for contents of the trace element concerned greater than 50 but not greater than 100 mg/kg;

10 mg/kg, in absolute value, for contents of the trace element concerned greater than 100 but not greater than 200 mg/kg;

5% of the higher result for contents of the trace element concerned greater than 200 mg/kg.

13 PRECISION AND BIAS

Precision and bias figures for the method based upon six replicate analyses of certified reference materials. The replicate analyses were performed upon the same day. Certified standards are routinely analysed as quality control check samples with each batch of samples as detailed in the procedure.
7.10 SOP INS/3: ELECTROGRAVIMETRIC DETERMINATION OF COPPER

1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

The method is for the quantitative electrogravimetric determination of copper in copper concentrates. The method is applicable to samples containing 15-45% copper and less than 2% arsenic.

2 PRINCIPLE.

The sample is dissolved in perchloric acid, silver and lead are precipitated and all insoluble matter removed by filtration. The copper is then deposited by addition of zinc metal, filtered off and dissolved in nitric acid. The copper content is determined electrolytically.

3 REAGENTS.

During the analysis, use only reagents of recognised analytical grade or better.

3.1	De-ionized water (>17.5 M Ω).	A2
3.2	Acetone.	A2
3.3	Hydrochloric Acid (S.G. 1.18)	A2
3.4	Hydrochloric Acid (1+9).	G5
	Add 100 mL hydrochloric acid (3.3) to 900 mL water (3.1).	G4, G4
3.5	Methanol.	A2
3.6	Nitric Acid (S.G. 1.42).	A2
3.7	Nitric Acid (1+1).	G5
	Add 5 litres of nitric acid (3.6) to 5 litres of water (3.1).	G4, G4
3.8	Perchloric Acid (60%).	A2
<mark>3.9</mark>	Potassium permanganate	A2
3.10	Potassium Permanganate Solution 3% w/v.	G5
	Dissolve $\frac{30 \text{ g}}{30 \text{ g}}$ of potassium permanganate (3.9) in $\frac{600 \text{ mL}}{500 \text{ mL}}$ of hot water. Dilute to 1 litre with water (3.1).	G3, G4 G5
3.11	Sodium Chloride	A2
3.12	Sodium Chloride Solution (0.05% w/v).	G5
	Dissolve $\frac{5}{9}$ g sodium chloride (3.11) in $\frac{10}{10}$ litres of water (3.1).	G3, G4,
3.13	Sulphamic Acid	A2
3.14	Sulphuric Acid.(S.G. 1.84)	A2
3.15	Sulphuric Acid (1+1).	G5
	Add $\frac{5 \text{ litres}}{5 \text{ of sulphuric acid }}$ (3.14) cautiously to $\frac{5 \text{ litres}}{5 \text{ of water }}$ of water (3.1). Cool.	G4, G4
<mark>3.16</mark>	Zinc Metal, particle size 3-8mm.	A2

4 QUALITY CONTROL

A suitable quality control standard should be included with every batch of analysis. This should be a recognised international reference material; however, if such materials are unavailable, a suitable blended/synthetic standard should be used. If this is not possible for certain materials then the control of quality will be demonstrated by other technical data

5 APPARATUS.

In additional to normal laboratory glassware and equipment the following is required:

5.1	A laboratory balance sensitive to ± 0.0001 g.	<i>A3</i>
5.2	Laboratory Hotplate.	G 6
5.3	A laboratory oven capable of maintaining a temperature of 105 ± 2 °C.	G 6
5.4	Platinum cathode and anode.	1
5.5	Laboratory stirrer, 3-bank with retort rods.	
5.6	Power Supply Unit, capable of supplying a consistent current of 1-1.5 amperes.	A7
5.7	1000 mL Volumetric flasks.	A5
5.8	Whatman No. 540 filter papers.	G 6
5.9	11 cm Whatman No. 541 filter papers.	G 6
5.10	12.5 cm Whatman No. 541 filter papers.	G 6
5.11	60# mesh (250 μm) sieve.	A3 or A8

6 SAMPLING AND SAMPLES

6.1 Laboratory Sample.

The sample should appear fine enough to pass through a 60# mesh (250 µm) sieve. Any oversize particles are crushed to the correct mesh size. Thoroughly mix and blend.

6.2 Preparation of the test sample.

Take sufficient mass of the laboratory sample for the required chemical analysis and transfer to a jar. Heat in the laboratory oven at 105 ± 2 °C for 2 hours. Remove from the heat and replace screwcap. Allow to cool.

7 **PROCEDURE**

7.1 Number of Determinations.

Carry out determinations at least in duplicate, as far as possible under repeatability conditions, on each test sample.

- 7.2Taking several increments, weigh accurately, to the nearest 0.0001 g, approximately 2 gA10, A10of test sample (6.2) into a 400 mL squat-form beaker.N1
- 7.3 Dissolution of sample.

Add a few anti-bumping granules and 35 mL of perchloric acid (3.8), heat on a high

G2

R8

- Dilute to 100 mL with water (3.1) and add approximately 5-7 drops of sulphuric acid 7.4.1 G4, **G**4 (3.14), to precipitate any lead present. [Note: If the sample contains more than 0.5% lead, add 10 mL of sulphuric acid **G**4 (3.14).] Boil, and whilst boiling, add hydrochloric acid (3.4) drop wise, until any silver has been 7.4.2 **G**4 precipitated. Remove from the hotplate and filter through an 11 cm, Whatman No.541 filter paper (5.9), or equivalent, into a 400 mL tall-form beaker. If a very heavy precipitate is N1 present, filter as described using a Whatman No.540 filter paper (5.8), or equivalent. Wash the contents of the beaker into the filter paper, and wash the filter paper at least 3 times with hot water. Place the filter paper in the original 400 mL squat-form beaker and retain. Deposition by Electrolysis of Copper To the filtrate add approximately 5 g of zinc metal pellets (3.16) and allow to stand for 1G3, G2 hour or until all copper has deposited. Filter through a 12.5 cm, Whatman No. 541 filter paper (5.10), or equivalent, into a 1 litre volumetric flask (5.7). Wash the copper into the filter paper, and wash the filter paper twice with water (3.1). Retain the 1 litre volumetric flask. Open the filter paper (7.5.2) and wash the copper deposit into the beaker with water. Place the filter paper, with the paper from the first filtration into the original 400mL squat-form beaker (retained in step 7.4.4). Retain the filter paper. Add a few anti-bumping granules, 40 mL nitric acid (3.7) and allow to stand until the **G**4 reaction has ceased. Boil to expel oxides of nitrogen, remove from the hotplate, add 10 **G**4 mL sulphuric acid (3.15), 10 mL sodium chloride solution (3.12) and dilute to 250-300 G4. G4 mL with water (3.1). [Note: -Whilst using nitric acid, wear gloves, and perform all parts of the procedure which releases fumes of nitrous oxide under fume extraction. 7.5.5 Add 0.5 mL of potassium permanganate solution (3.10), and a magnetic stirring bar, and **G**4 electrolyse for 65 minutes at 1.5 amperes (5.6) onto a weighed platinum cathode (5.4). A13, Record cathode weight as C1. A7+A9 If oxides of nitrogen start to be evolved during electrolysis, carefully add 1 - 2 g of **G**3 sulphamic acid (3.13). When the electrolysis is complete, rinse the cathode with water (3.1), methanol (3.5) and acetone (3.2), dry and reweigh (cathode weight, C2). Retain the electrolyte. Treatment of residues and analysis by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. To the combined filter papers in the original beaker, (retained in step 7.4.4) add 25 mL of **G**4 nitric acid (3.7), and 20 mL of perchloric acid (3.8) and fume on a high temperature **G**4 hotplate (5.2). Allow to cool. Transfer to a 1 litre volumetric flask (step 7.5.2), add the electrolyte (retained in step
- 7.4.3

temperature hotplate (5.2), until dense white fumes of perchloric acid appear. Allow to

[Note: Fume in a fume-cupboard fitted with a constant backflow of water, under no

circumstances allow to fume dry. Always use beaker tongs].

Removal of undissolved material & sample impurities.

- 7.4.4
- 7.5

cool.

7.4

- 7.5.1
- 7.5.2
- 7.5.3
- 7.5.4

- 7.5.6
- 7.6
- 7.6.1
- 7.6.2 7.5.6), dilute to volume with water and mix well.
- 7.6.3 Determine copper content by AAS, using method SOP: INS/2 – Determination of the

G4

trace elements iron, copper; manganese and zinc in solution by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy.

8 EXPRESSION OF RESULTS

8.1 Calculation

% ($Cu \ by \ AAS = \frac{Concentration \ (ppm)}{10,000}$	
% Tota	$l Cu = \left[\frac{C_2 - C_1}{W} \times 100\right] + \% Cu by AAS$	
Concentration (ppm)		R10
C ₂	= Weight of Cathode after deposition	A4
C ₁	= Weight of Cathode before deposition	<i>A4</i>
W	= Sample Weight (g)	<i>A4</i>
% Cu by AAS		R10

9 TEST REPORT

- 9.1 Worksheets should include the following:-
 - Method of analysis used/method code.
 - Variations of sample or deviations from standard method of analysis.
 - Clear identification of personnel weighing and checking (also dated).
 - Certified level of reference material being used, with tolerance levels.
 - Range of element normally anticipated for this grade of sample.
- 9.2 Reporting sheet should include the following:-
 - Date of reporting.
 - Units/element being reported.
 - Analysis state (all corrections to be indicated)
 - Sample reference corresponding to element value.
 - Remarks to be short and concise.
 - Method code.

10 MEASUREMENT OF UNCERTAINTY

The measurement of uncertainty for this procedure will be twice the standard deviation calculated from the performance of the regular quality control data collected where the data is available. For the current value refer to the quality control data review file.